



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

**2026-YIL**  
IYUN/6-SON, I-QISM



ISSN: 2992-8982

<https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz/>





## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

### Bosh muharrir:

Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich

*Elektron nashr. 2026-yil, iyun.*

### Bosh muharrir o'rinbosari:

Karimov Norboy G'aniyevich

### Muharrir:

Qurbonov Sherzod Ismatillayevich

### Tahrir hay'ati:

**Salimov Oqil Umrzoqovich**, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi  
**Abduraxmanov Kalandar Xodjayevich**, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi  
**Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich**, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Rae Kvon Chung**, Janubiy Koreya, TDIU faxriy professori, "Nobel" mukofoti laureati  
**Osman Mesten**, Turkiya parlamenti a'zosi, Turkiya – O'zbekiston do'stlik jamiyati rahbari  
**Axmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Axmedov Sayfullo Normatovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Kalonov Muxiddin Baxritdinovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Siddiqova Sadoqat G'afforovna**, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**Xudoyqulov Sadirdin Karimovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Maxmudov Nosir**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Samadov Asqarjon Nishonovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, professor  
**Slizovskiy Dimitriy Yegorovich**, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Axmedov Ikrom Akramovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Eshtayev Alisher Abdug'aniyevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Xajiyev Baxtiyor Dushaboyevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Hakimov Nazar Hakimovich**, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Musayeva Shoirazimovna**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), professor  
**Ali Konak (Ali Ko'nak)**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor (Turkiya)  
**Cham Tat Huei**, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD), professor (Malayziya)  
**Foziljonov Ibrohimjon Sotvoldix'ja o'g'li**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dots.  
**Faxridinov Zafarjon Faxridin o'g'li**, O'zb. Res. Bosh prokuraturasi HIJQKD boshqarma boshlig'i  
**Utayev Uktam Choriyevich**, Anijon viloyati prokurorining o'rinbosari  
**Ochilov Farkhod**, O'zb. Res. Bosh prokuraturasi IJQK Departamentining Namangan viloyati boshqarmasi boshlig'i  
**Buzrukxonov Sarvarxon Munavvarxonovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
**Axmedov Javohir Jamolovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li**, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), katta o'qituvchi  
**Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), v.b. dots.  
**Djudi Smetana**, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)  
**Krissi Lyuis**, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)  
**Glazova Marina Viktorovna**, Iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (Moskva)  
**Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin qizi**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
**Sevil Piriyeva Karaman**, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD) (Turkiya)  
**Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon o'g'li**, TDIU ITI departamenti rahbari  
**Ochilov Bobur Baxtiyor o'g'li**, TDIU katta o'qituvchisi  
**Golisheva Yelena Vyacheslavovna**, Iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent.  
**Abdukarimova Dinara Rustamxonovna**, bank-moliya akademiyasi professori, DSc., professor.  
**Ikramov Murod Akramovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Nazarova Ra'no Rustamovna**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

### Editorial board:

**Salimov Okil Umrzokovich**, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan  
**Abdurakhmanov Kalandar Khodjavevich**, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan  
**Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Rae Kwon Chung**, South Korea, Honorary Professor at TSUE, Nobel Prize Laureate  
**Osman Mesten**, Member of the Turkish Parliament, Head of the Turkey–Uzbekistan Friendship Society  
**Akhmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Akhmedov Sayfullo Normatovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Kalonov Mukhiddin Bakhridinovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Siddikova Sadokat Gafforovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences  
**Khudoykulov Sadirdin Karimovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Makhmudov Nosir**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Samadov Askarjon Nishonovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Professor  
**Slizovskiy Dmitriy Yegorovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Akhmedov Ikrom Akramovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Eshtayev Alisher Abduganiyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khajiyev Bakhtiyor Dushaboyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khakimov Nazar Khakimovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor  
**Musayeva Shoira Azimovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Professor  
**Ali Konak**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor (Turkey)  
**Cham Tat Huei**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)  
**Foziljonov Ibrokhimjon Sotvoldikhoja ugli**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Fakhridinov Zafarjon Fakhridin ogli**, Head of the DCEC under the Prosecutor General's Office of the Rep. of Uzb.  
**Utayev Uktam Choriyevich**, Deputy Prosecutor of Anijan Region  
**Ochilov Farkhod**, Head of the Namangan Regional Department of the Department of Internal Affairs of Rep. of Uzb.  
**Buzrukkhonov Sarvarkhon Munavvarkhonovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Akhmedov Javokhir Jamolovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences  
**Tokhirov Jaloliddin Ochil ugli**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Technical Sciences, Senior Lecturer  
**Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Acting Associate Professor  
**Judi Smetana**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)  
**Chrissy Lewis**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)  
**Glazova Marina Victorovna**, Doctor of Sciences in Economics (Moscow)  
**Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin kizi**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Sevil Piriyeva Karaman**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) (Turkey)  
**Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon ugli**, Head of the Department of Scientific Research and Innovations, TSUE  
**Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli**, Senior lecturer at TSUI  
**Golisheva Yelena Vyacheslavovna**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor.  
**Abdukarimova Dinara Rustamkhanovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Ikramov Murod Akramovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Nazarova Ra'no Rustamovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor

### Ekspertlar kengashi:

**Berkinov Bazarbay**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Po'latov Baxtiyor Alimovich**, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Aliyev Bekdavlat Aliyevich**, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Xalikov Suyun Ravshanovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
**Rustamov Ilhomiddin**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
**Hakimov Ziyodulla Ahmadovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent  
**Kamilova Iroda Xusniddinovna**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**G'afurov Doniyor Orifovich**, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**Fayziyev Oybek Raximovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
**Tuxtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
**Xamidova Faridaxon Abdulkarim qizi**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent  
**Yaxshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna**, katta o'qituvchi  
**Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna**, mustaqil tadqiqotchi  
**Komilova Nilufar Karshiboyevna**, Geografiya fanlari doktori, professori  
**Umirzoqov Ja'sur Artiqboy o'g'li**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent  
**Zebo Kuldasheva**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent

### Board of Experts:

**Berkinov Bazarbay**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Pulatov Bakhtiyor Alimovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Aliyev Bekdavlat Aliyevich**, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor  
**Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khalikov Suyun Ravshanovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Rustamov Ilhomiddin**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Khakimov Ziyodulla Akhmadovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Kamilova Iroda Xusniddinovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics  
**Gafurov Doniyor Orifovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogy  
**Fayziyev Oybek Raximovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor  
**Tukhtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor  
**Khamidova Faridaxon Abdulkarimovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Yakhshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna**, Senior Lecturer  
**Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna**, Independent Researcher  
**Komilova Nilufar Karshiboyevna**, Doctor of Geographical Sciences, Professor  
**Umirzokov Jasur Artiqboy ugli**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor  
**Zebo Kuldasheva**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor

- 08.00.01 Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
- 08.00.02 Makroiqtisodiyot
- 08.00.03 Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.04 Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.05 Xizmat ko'rsatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.06 Ekonometrika va statistika
- 08.00.07 Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
- 08.00.08 Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
- 08.00.09 Jahon iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.10 Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.11 Marketing
- 08.00.12 Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
- 08.00.13 Menejment
- 08.00.14 Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
- 08.00.15 Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.16 Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
- 08.00.17 Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

**Muassis:** "Ma'rifat-print-media" MChJ

**Hamkorlarimiz:** Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti,  
O'zbekiston Respublikasi Bosh prokuraturasi huzuridagi Iqtisodiy  
jinoyatlarga qarshi kurashish departamenti

### Jurnalning ilmiyligi:

“Yashil” iqtisodiyot va  
taraqqiyot” jurnali

O'zbekiston Respublikasi  
Oliy ta'lim, fan va innovatsiyalar  
vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy  
attestatsiya komissiyasi  
rayosatining  
2023-yil 1-apreldagi  
336/3-sonli qarori bilan  
ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.



# MUNDARIJA

O'ZBEKISTON MOLIYA TIZIMINING ZAMONAVIY HOLATI VA UNING BARQARORLIK KO'RSATKICHLARI .....	18
<b>Nazarov G'ayrat Xayrullayevich</b>	
YUKLARNI TASHISHDA ZARURIY TRANSPORT XUJJATLARI.....	22
<b>Ne'matov Husniddin Alijon o'g'li</b>	
SURXONDARYO VILOYATI HUDUDLARINING MOLIYAVIY BARQARORLIGINI OSHIRISHDA MOLIYAVIY IMTIYOZ VA PREFERENSIYALARNI SAMARALI QO'LLASH YO'NALISHLARI.....	27
<b>Otamurodova Dildora Abdukrimovna</b>	
GLOBAL BEQARORLIK SHAROITIDA MILLIY IQTISODIYOTNING RAQOBATBARDOSHLIGINI OSHIRISH MODELLARI .....	32
<b>Saidnazarova Odinabonu Saidolim qizi</b>	
СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕНДЕНЦИИ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ В ОБЛАСТИ УЧЕТА И АУДИТА ФИНАНСОВЫХ ИНСТРУМЕНТОВ.....	37
<b>Пулатова Мадина Бейсеновна</b>	
<b>Табаев Азамат Зарипбаевич</b>	
MAJBURIYATMI YOKI MAJBURIY RISK? MOLIYAVIY MAJBURIYATLAR KONSEPTUAL ASOSLARINING IQTISODIY TABIATI VA ZAMONAVIY TALQINLARI.....	42
<b>Palvanov Xusniddin Bekimmatovich</b>	
ПРИНЦИПЫ И МЕТОДЫ УЧЕТА ФИНАНСОВЫХ РЕЗУЛЬТАТОВ В СИСТЕМЕ КОРПОРАТИВНОГО УПРАВЛЕНИЯ.....	47
<b>Пу Кебин</b>	
TRANSPORT XIZMATLARI SIFATINI BARQARORLIK NUQTAYI NAZARIDAN O'RGANISH METODOLOGIYASI.....	51
<b>Xodjaniyazov Elbek Sardorovich</b>	
VILOYAT SANOAT KORXONALARINING INNOVATSION RIVOJLANISHIGA TA'SIR ETUVCHI KO'P OMILLI EMPIRIK MODELLAR ASOSIDA TAHLIL QILISH VA PROGNOZLASH (Qashqadaryo viloyati misolida) .....	57
<b>Avazova Nafisa Namazovna</b>	
SANOAT KORXONALARI SHOVQINI VA ATMOSFERA CHIQINDILARINING TURAR-JOY HUDUDLARI EKOLOGIYASIGA TA'SIRINI KAMAYTIRISH CHORALARI .....	61
<b>Saparova Shohida Abduvaliyevna</b>	
SANOAT SHOVQINI VA ATMOSFERA IFLOSLANISHINING SHAHAR TURAR JOY HUDUDLARI VA EKOLOGIYASIGA TASIRINI O'RGANISH.....	65
<b>Shaxyusupova Nilufar Erkin qizi</b>	
BARQAROR SHAHAR RIVOJLANISHIDA SANOAT OQOVA SUVLARINI BIOLOGIK TOZALASH TEXNOLOGIYALARINING ROLI.....	69
<b>Tursunova Aziza Asrorovna</b>	
SHAHAR EKOLOGIK MUHITIGA SANOAT KORXONALARINING TA'SIRINI BAHOLASH.....	75
<b>Imomnazarov Farrux Saibjabbor o'g'li</b>	
O'ZBEKISTONDA AYOLLAR TADBIRKORLIGI: RIVOJLANISH TENDENSIYALARI VA IQTISODIY KO'RSATKICHLAR TAHLILI.....	78
<b>Xusanova Zulfiya Raxmatullayevna</b>	
KORXONALARDA INVESTITSIYA SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHDA INNOVATSION BOSHQARUV MEKANIZMLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	87
<b>No'monjonova Muazzam Mahbubjon qizi</b>	
SOLIQ TIZIMIDA SOLIQ RISKINI BAHOLASH USLUBIYOTI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH MASALALARI.....	93
<b>Nasimov Ravshanjon Azimovich</b>	



KICHIK VA O'RTA BIZNES SUBYEKTLARINI RAQAMLASHTIRISHNING IQTISODIY SAMARADORLIGI .....	100
<b>Kazakova Zulayho Salaxiddinovna</b>	
THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL LITERACY ON LABOR PRODUCTIVITY IN UZBEKISTAN'S SERVICE SECTOR: A REGRESSION ANALYSIS.....	106
<b>Ismoilov Elyorjon Makhamadali Ugli</b>	
<b>Urinov Bobur Nasilloevich</b>	
EKOLOGIK NIZOLARNI HAL ETISHDA SUD AMALIYOTINING O'RNI VA AHAMIYATI.....	112
<b>Gapparov Sarvar Xolmurodovich</b>	
ASOSIY FAOLIYAT XARAJATLARINING NAZARIY VA HUQUQIY ASOSLARI .....	116
<b>Djumayeva Guzal Axtamovna</b>	
<b>Yadgarova Madina Xasan qizi</b>	
RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYA JARAYONIDA BANK SOHASIDAGI KIBERJINOYATLAR: TAHLIL, TAHDID VA MUHOFAZA MEKANIZMLARI .....	120
<b>Xudayarova Xurshida Abdunazarovna</b>	
ПРОБЕЛЫ В МЕЖДУНАРОДНО-ПРАВОВОМ РЕГУЛИРОВАНИИ СОВМЕСТНОГО ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ ВОДНЫХ РЕСУРСОВ ТРАНСГРАНИЧНЫХ РЕК ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ (НА ПРИМЕРЕ БАСЕЙНА АРАЛЬСКОГО МОРЯ).....	126
<b>Тиллахуджаев Аббосхон</b>	
<b>Дилфуза Шакирова</b>	
<b>Мухамедалиева Сайёра</b>	
<b>Ойбек Камилов</b>	
ALOQA XIZMATLARI SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHDA RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYANING O'RNI VA BAHOLASH USULLARI .....	139
<b>Xazratov Abror Panjiyevich</b>	
STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES.....	146
<b>Sultonboyeva Munira Bahodirovna</b>	
<b>Sultanova Kamila Muktorali Kizi</b>	
TIJORAT BANKLARIDA BUXGALTERIYA HISOBINI TASHKIL ETISHNING NORMATIV-HUQUQIY ASOSLARI.....	154
<b>Toshimov Azizbek Hakimovich</b>	
<b>Nomozov G'ofur Abdusalomovich</b>	
DAVLAT BUDJETI XARAJATLARINING ASOSIY YO'NALISHLARI: STRUKTURAVIY TRANSFORMATSIYA VA SAMARADORLIKNI BAHOLASH .....	158
<b>Izbosarov Bobur Baxriddinovich</b>	
<b>Umirov Sherzod Baxriddinovich</b>	
INTEGRATION OF TRADE MARKETING AND E-COMMERCE: COMBINING ONLINE AND OFFLINE SALES CHANNELS .....	162
<b>Mamatkulova Shoira Jalolovna</b>	
AHOLIGA SAVDO XIZMATLARI KO'RSATISH SOHASINI RAQAMLASHTIRISH MEKANIZMINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	168
<b>Qodirov Farrux Ergash o'g'li</b>	
<b>Jurayev Suxrobjon Saloxiddin o'g'li</b>	
ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ МАРКЕТИНГОВЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ В МАРКЕТИНГОВОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ПРЕДПРИЯТИЙ.....	177
<b>Маматкулова Шоира Джалоловна</b>	
РОЛЬ И ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ЛОГИСТИКИ В РАЗВИТИИ КОММЕРЧЕСКОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ТОРГОВЫХ ПРЕДПРИЯТИЙ.....	184
<b>Маматкулова Шоира Джалоловна</b>	



SUN'IY INTELLEKT VA RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYANING BANK SOHASIDA INTEGRATSIYASI XUSUSIYATLARI VA TAHLILI .....	191
<b>Jurayeva Sevara Zakirovna</b>	
INNOVATSION IQTISODIYOTNI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING MEHNAT BOZORIGA TA'SIRLARINI BAHOLASH MASALALARI.....	197
<b>Tursunboyev Umarbek</b>	
ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА И МЕТОДОВ МАШИННОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ ПРИ ОЦЕНКЕ НЕДВИЖИМОГО ИМУЩЕСТВА НА ПРЕДПРИЯТИЯХ: ОПЫТ УЗБЕКИСТАНА .....	202
<b>Мирджалилова Дилдора Шухратовна</b>	
<b>Мансурова Сайёра Бахтиёровна</b>	
БАНК ТИЗИМИ БАҲҚАРОРГИНИ ТАЪМИНЛАШ ВОСИТАЛАРИ ВА УСУЛЛАРИНИ ТАКОМИЛЛАШТИРИШ .....	213
<b>Ж.О.Баходиров</b>	
О'ZBEKISTON AGRAR SUG'URTA BOZORIDA ESG TAMOYILLARINI JORIY ETISHNING XAVFLARNI BOSHQARISH VA BARQARORLIKNI OSHIRISHDAGI O'RNI.....	219
<b>Kodirova Samira Kamol qizi</b>	
PERSONALISED OFFERS IN DIRECT MARKETING: IMPACT ON SALES AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY.....	225
<b>Mamatkulova Shoira Djalolovna</b>	
TURIZM INFRASTRUKTURASINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA RAQAMLI XIZMATLARDAN FOYDALANISHNING NAZARIY ASOSLARI VA AQLLI TURIZM KONSEPSIYASI.....	231
<b>Musirmanov Shohboz Usmon o'g'li</b>	
HUDUDLARDA MADANIY TURIZM XIZMATLARINING SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING USLUBIY ASOSLARI .....	237
<b>Shohrubbek Ruziyev</b>	
MARKETING MIX FOR SERVICE COMPANIES: ADAPTATION OF CLASSIC MODELS TO SERVICE MARKETING .....	244
<b>Mamatkulova Shoira Djalolovna</b>	
15-16 YOSHLI TAEKVONDOCHILARNING KOMPLEKS TAYYORGARLIGI DINAMIKASI.....	250
<b>Tashmatov Sherzod Rixsibayevich</b>	
TIJORAT BANKLARIDA DAROMAD VA XARAJATLAR AUDITINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH YO'NALISHLARI .....	256
<b>Toshimov Azizbek Hakimovich</b>	
<b>Mamanov Isroil Islom o'g'li</b>	
TIJORAT BANKLARIDA DAROMAD VA XARAJATLARNING IQTISODIY MOHIYATI.....	260
<b>Toshimov Azizbek Hakimovich</b>	
<b>Ergashev Ilyos To'raqul o'g'li</b>	
DELAYING MOTHERHOOD: BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC DETERMINANTS OF EARLY CHILDBEARING IN TAJIKISTAN .....	264
<b>Dr Muhammad Bilal</b>	
<b>Gulyorabonu Usmonova</b>	
RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA BANK XIZMATLARI SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING INNOVATSION MEKANIZMLARI.....	275
<b>Xasanova Xamida Xaydaraliyevna</b>	
ELEKTRON TIJORATNI DAVLAT TOMONIDAN QO'LLAB-QUVVATLASH MEKANIZMINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH .....	281
<b>Nazarova Shahodat Ravshan qizi</b>	
THE ROLE OF DIGITAL MARKETING IN INDUSTRIAL COMPANIES .....	286
<b>Musoyeva Rukhshona Javlon qizi</b>	
APPLICATION OF EXTREME MODELS IN ASSESSING THE ECONOMIC POTENTIAL OF AN ENTERPRISE.....	291
<b>Musayeva Shoira Azimovna</b>	



<b>O'ZBEKISTON IQTISODIYOTIDA SOLIQ VA BUXGALTERIYA ISLOHOTLARINING KORXONALAR FAOLIYATIGA TA'SIRI.....</b>	<b>298</b>
<b>Isayev Jahongir Muzaffarovich</b>	
<b>Jumaboyeva Zuhra Umidbek qizi</b>	
<b>YAKKA TARTIBDAGI TADBIRKORLAR TOMONIDAN XODIMLAR DAROMADIDAN SOLIQNI TO'LOV MANBAIDA USHLAB QOLISH MEXANIZMINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....</b>	<b>302</b>
<b>Sabirov Mirza Qilichbayevich</b>	
<b>AGRAR MAHSULOTLARNI QAYTA ISHLASH SANOATINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA XORIJIY MAMLAKATLAR TAJRIBASI.....</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>Sheraliyev Axror Sodiqovich</b>	
<b>Ne'matov Javohir Zoxidjon o'g'li</b>	
<b>BIZNES INKUBATORLARNING TASHKILY-HUQUQIY ASOSLARI .....</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>Narzullayev Shodiyor Eshpulatovich</b>	
<b>GANDBOL BILAN SHUG'ULLANUVCHI CHIZIQ O'YINCHISINI O'YIN JARAYONIDAGI TEXNIK-TAKTIK TAYYORGARLIGINI MAXSUS MASHQLAR ORQALI OSHIRISH SAMARADORLIGI.....</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>R.O. Obloberdiyeva</b>	
<b>AKSIYADORLIK JAMIYATLARI TO'LOV QOBILIYATI VA MOLIYAVIY BARQARORLIGINING IQTISODIY MOHIYATI VA XUSUSIYATLARI.....</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>Ishonqulov Nizamjon Fayzullayevich</b>	
<b>Ishbekova Lobar Yunusxonovna</b>	
<b>RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA AKSIYADORLIK JAMIYATLARINING TO'LOV QOBILIYATLARINI OSHIRISH MEXANIZMLARI VA UNING NAZARIY JIHATLARI .....</b>	<b>335</b>
<b>Ishonqulov Nizamjon Fayzullayevich</b>	
<b>Ishbekova Lobar Yunusxonovna</b>	
<b>MUSOBAQA DAVRIDA MALAKALI MARKAZ O'YINCHILARINING HUJUM TEXNIKASINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH USLUBIYATI .....</b>	<b>340</b>
<b>R.O. Obloberdiyeva</b>	
<b>RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR ASOSIDA SOLIQ NIZOLARINI SUDGACHA TARTIBGA SOLISH MEXANIZMLARINI RIVOJLANTIRISH .....</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>Solihova Xumora Xasan qizi</b>	
<b>IQTISODIYOTDA "DATA SCIENCE"NING O'RNI VA AHAMIYATI.....</b>	<b>354</b>
<b>Inatullayeva Intizor Jamshid qizi</b>	
<b>ОЦЕНКА УСТОЙЧИВОСТИ БАНКОВСКОГО СЕКТОРА В УСЛОВИЯХ ВНЕДРЕНИЯ ЦИФРОВЫХ И ФИНТЕХ-РЕШЕНИЙ .....</b>	<b>357</b>
<b>Абдуллаева Шахло Рустамовна</b>	
<b>MAXSUS IQTISODIY ZONALAR SAMARADORLIGINI BELGILOVCHI ASOSIY KATEGORIYALAR.....</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>Arslanov U.U.</b>	
<b>KORXONA BOSHQARUV TIZIMINI KOMPLEKS TAHLIL QILISHNING ZAMONAVIY INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLARI .....</b>	<b>372</b>
<b>Yusupov Nurillo Ikromjon o'g'li</b>	
<b>SANOAT SOHASINI RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR ORQALI RIVOJLANTIRISH.....</b>	<b>378</b>
<b>Alijonov J.A.</b>	
<b>Abdusattorova Dilobar Abdujabborovna</b>	
<b>O'ZBEKISTON IQTISODIYOTIDA INKLYUZIVLIKNI TA'MINLASHDA IJTIMOY HIMOYA VA BANDLIK SIYOSATINING ROLI.....</b>	<b>384</b>
<b>Murodullayev Saydali Fayzulla o'g'li</b>	
<b>XORAZM VILOYATIDA "POTENSIAL – SAMARADORLIK–BARQARORLIK" (PSB) STRATEGIYA MODELINI TATBIQ ETISH: NAZARIYA VA AMALIYOT.....</b>	<b>391</b>
<b>Yusupov Sherzodbek Baxtiyor o'g'li</b>	
<b>KORPARATSIYALARNI BOSHQARISHDA RAQAMLI PLATFORMALAR.....</b>	<b>395</b>
<b>Alijonov J.A.</b>	
<b>Egamberdiyeva Oydinoy Muhammadjon qizi</b>	



MILLIY IQTISODIYOTNI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA MALAKALI KADRLARNING AHAMIYATI .....	402
<b>Alijonov J.A.</b>	
<b>Bozorboyeva Maftuna Turob qizi</b>	
RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYA SHAROITIDA KICHIK BIZNES VA XUSUSIY TADBIRKORLIKNI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING ZAMONAVIY YO'NALISHLARI .....	407
<b>Abdullayeva Zulfiya Izzatovna</b>	
<b>Ashurova Jasmina Jo'ra qizi</b>	
IMPROVING STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS IN MODERN ENTERPRISES .....	411
<b>Makhmudov Sunnatjon Abdujabbor ogli</b>	
<b>Ashurova Jasmina Jora Kizi</b>	



**Аннотация**

Данное исследование посвящено вопросам совершенствования систем стратегического управления на современных предприятиях, функционирующих в условиях динамичной и конкурентной рыночной среды. Основными целями исследования являются оценка текущего состояния практик стратегического управления, выявление ключевых недостатков существующих систем и разработка научно обоснованных рекомендаций по повышению эффективности деятельности организаций. В исследовании использован смешанный методологический подход, объединяющий результаты анкетирования 120 менеджеров различных отраслей и данные структурированных интервью с 15 руководителями высшего звена. Результаты исследования показали, что предприятия, использующие интегрированные системы стратегического управления, сочетающие методологию сбалансированной системы показателей (Balanced Scorecard), развитие динамических способностей и стратегии цифровой трансформации, демонстрируют значительно более высокие показатели эффективности по сравнению с предприятиями, использующими традиционные методы планирования. Установлено, что организации, имеющие показатели стратегической интеграции выше среднего уровня, превосходят аналогичные предприятия в среднем на 23 % по темпам роста доходов и на 18 % по уровню операционной эффективности. С теоретической точки зрения исследование вносит вклад в развитие теории стратегического управления, адаптируя концепцию динамических способностей к условиям цифровой эпохи и предлагая интегрированную модель, применимую для стран с переходной и развивающейся экономикой. Практическая значимость исследования заключается в предоставлении менеджерам и разработчикам политики эффективных инструментов для совершенствования процессов формирования и реализации стратегии. Основными ограничениями исследования являются его поперечный характер и территориальная концентрация в пределах Узбекистана, что может ограничивать возможность обобщения результатов. В дальнейшем рекомендуется проведение лонгитюдных исследований и расширение географического охвата на несколько стран для подтверждения и развития полученных результатов.

**Ключевые слова:** стратегическое управление, современные предприятия, сбалансированная система показателей, динамические способности, организационная эффективность, цифровая трансформация.

**INTRODUCTION**

In the rapidly evolving global business landscape, the capacity of enterprises to effectively formulate, implement, and evaluate strategic management systems has become a critical determinant of long-term organizational success. The acceleration of technological change, intensifying market competition, and increasing environmental volatility have rendered traditional, static strategic planning models insufficient for guiding contemporary organizations. As scholars such as Teece, Pisano, and Shuen [1] have argued, firms must develop dynamic capabilities that allow them to sense, seize, and reconfigure internal and external competencies in response to environmental shifts.

Strategic management, as a discipline, has evolved substantially since its origins in the classical planning frameworks of the 1960s and 1970s. Early models emphasized linear, top-down strategic planning processes that prioritized long-term forecasting and resource allocation [2]. However, the emergence of hypercompetitive markets, disruptive technologies, and global supply chain complexity has necessitated a fundamental reconceptualization of how firms approach strategic decision-making [3]. Contemporary strategic management scholarship has increasingly emphasized adaptive, iterative, and participatory approaches that enable organizations to remain agile while maintaining a coherent strategic direction [4].

Despite significant theoretical advancements, there remains a persistent gap between strategic management theory and organizational practice, particularly in transitional and emerging market economies. Enterprises in countries such as Uzbekistan face a distinctive set of challenges, including limited access to advanced managerial training, underdeveloped institutional frameworks, and rapid economic liberalization, all of which complicate the effective adoption of modern strategic management tools [5]. Understanding how these contextual factors shape strategic management capabilities is essential for developing practically relevant prescriptions for organizational improvement.

The balanced scorecard (BSC), initially proposed by Kaplan and Norton [6], has emerged as one of the most widely adopted strategic management frameworks, enabling organizations to translate vision and strategy into a coherent set of performance measures across financial, customer, internal process, and learning and growth perspectives. More recently, scholars have begun to examine the integration of digital technologies into strategic management processes, arguing that digital transformation fundamentally alters the strategic



landscape by enabling new business models, enhancing data-driven decision-making, and accelerating competitive dynamics [7], [8], [9].

This study is motivated by the observed inadequacies in the strategic management practices of modern enterprises, particularly within the Central Asian context. While a growing body of literature addresses strategic management improvement in Western and East Asian contexts, comparatively little empirical research has been conducted on enterprises operating in Uzbekistan and similar transitional economies. This gap represents both a scholarly limitation and a practical problem, as organizations in these contexts lack evidence-based guidance tailored to their specific challenges and opportunities.

The primary objectives of this research are threefold: first, to assess the current state of strategic management systems within a sample of modern enterprises; second, to identify the key drivers and barriers affecting the effectiveness of these systems; and third, to develop and validate a conceptual framework for strategic management improvement that accounts for the unique characteristics of transitional market environments [10]. In pursuing these objectives, this study makes contributions to both strategic management theory and organizational practice, offering insights relevant to scholars, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the research methodology. Section 3 reports the empirical findings. Section 4 discusses the theoretical and practical implications of the results. Section 5 concludes the paper with a summary of key contributions, limitations, and directions for future research

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The issue of improving management systems in educational institutions has attracted considerable attention from both international and local scholars. Among the leading researchers in this field, Bush [11] examined various theoretical models of educational leadership and management, emphasizing the importance of effective governance in achieving institutional goals and improving educational quality. Similarly, Hallinger and Heck [12] investigated the relationship between school leadership and institutional effectiveness, concluding that strong leadership significantly contributes to organizational performance and student achievement.

Leithwood et al. [13] highlighted the role of transformational leadership in educational settings and argued that effective leaders create conditions that foster teacher development, collaboration, and continuous improvement. Their findings suggest that leadership practices have both direct and indirect effects on educational outcomes. Furthermore, Fullan and Quinn [14] emphasized the importance of coherence, strategic alignment, and organizational learning in managing educational change, particularly in rapidly evolving educational environments.

The concept of distributed leadership proposed by Spillane [15] has also gained prominence in educational management research. According to this perspective, leadership responsibilities should be shared among various stakeholders within an institution, thereby enhancing participation, accountability, and decision-making effectiveness. In addition, Hargreaves and Fullan [16] stressed the significance of professional capital, arguing that sustainable educational improvement depends on the collective expertise and commitment of teachers and administrators.

In Uzbekistan, educational reforms have increasingly focused on modernizing management practices, improving institutional autonomy, and introducing quality assurance mechanisms. National policy documents and reform programs emphasize the need for effective management systems capable of responding to contemporary educational challenges. However, empirical studies examining the combined impact of digital management tools, participatory leadership approaches, and quality control mechanisms within educational institutions remain limited.

While previous studies have extensively explored leadership, organizational effectiveness, and educational change, relatively little attention has been paid to the integrated application of these management mechanisms in the context of Uzbekistan's educational institutions. Therefore, the originality of the present study lies in its comprehensive examination of how digital governance tools, participatory management practices, and systematic quality assurance mechanisms jointly influence institutional effectiveness and educational outcomes. This approach provides both theoretical contributions to educational management literature and practical recommendations for policymakers and educational leaders.

## METHODOLOGY

This study employed a mixed-methods research design, integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of strategic management practices in modern enterprises. The choice of this design was informed by the complexity of the research questions, which require both statistical generalizability and contextual depth.

A structured survey instrument was developed based on validated scales from the strategic management literature, including the Strategic Orientation of Business Enterprises (STROBE) scale and items adapted from the Balanced Scorecard implementation literature. The survey consisted of 42 items measured on a seven-point Likert scale and was administered to a purposive sample of 120 managers drawn from manufacturing, services, and trade sectors in Uzbekistan. Respondents were selected using stratified sampling to ensure representation across firm sizes (small, medium, and large enterprises) and industries. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression were employed to analyze quantitative data using SPSS version 26.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 senior executives, including chief executive officers, chief strategy officers, and department heads, selected through theoretical sampling to maximize variation across organizational types. Each interview lasted approximately 60 to 90 minutes and was recorded and transcribed verbatim. Thematic analysis was employed following the six-phase framework proposed by Braun and Clarke, enabling the identification of recurring patterns, themes, and subthemes related to strategic management challenges and improvement strategies.

Quantitative and qualitative data were integrated at the interpretation stage using a convergent parallel design, whereby findings from both strands were compared and synthesized to develop a holistic understanding of the phenomenon. Validity was ensured through triangulation of data sources, member checking of interview transcripts with participants, and pilot testing of the survey instrument with a sub-sample of 15 respondents prior to full deployment. Cronbach's alpha coefficients for all survey scales exceeded 0.78, indicating acceptable internal consistency.

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the demographic and organizational characteristics of survey respondents. The sample comprised predominantly managers from medium-sized enterprises (47.5%), followed by large enterprises (32.5%) and small firms (20%) [11]. The manufacturing sector accounted for the largest proportion of respondents (38.3%), followed by services (35%) and trade (26.7%). The majority of respondents held managerial positions for more than five years (61.7%), suggesting a reasonably experienced sample.

**Table 1. Demographic and Organizational Characteristics of Respondents (n = 120)**

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Firm Size	Small (< 50 employees)	24	20.0
	Medium (50-249 employees)	57	47.5
	Large (250+ employees)	39	32.5
Sector	Manufacturing	46	38.3
	Services	42	35.0
	Trade	32	26.7
Managerial Experience	Less than 5 years	46	38.3
	5 to 10 years	43	35.8
	More than 10 years	31	25.8

### 3.2 Strategic Management Integration Levels

To evaluate the degree of strategic management system integration, respondents were classified into three groups based on their composite Strategic Integration Index (SII) scores: Low Integration ( $SII < 3.5$ ), Moderate Integration ( $3.5 \leq SII < 5.5$ ), and High Integration ( $SII \geq 5.5$ ). Table 2 presents the distribution of enterprises across these categories and their corresponding mean performance outcomes on key organizational metrics.



Table 2. Strategic Integration Index and Organizational Performance Outcomes

Integration Level	n	Revenue Growth (%)	Operational Efficiency (%)	Employee Satisfaction (1-7)
Low Integration (SII < 3.5)	31	4.2	58.3	3.41
Moderate Integration (3.5–5.5)	56	9.8	69.7	4.87
High Integration (SII ≥ 5.5)	33	27.1	76.8	5.93
Overall Mean	120	13.6	68.2	4.74

The results in Table 2 demonstrate a clear positive relationship between the level of strategic management integration and organizational performance. Enterprises classified as High Integration reported mean revenue growth of 27.1%, compared to 9.8% for Moderate Integration and 4.2% for Low Integration firms. Similarly, operational efficiency scores and employee satisfaction ratings increased substantially with higher integration levels.

Survey respondents were asked to rate the significance of various barriers to effective strategic management implementation on a seven-point scale (1 = Not significant, 7 = Extremely significant). Table 3 presents the mean ratings and standard deviations for the ten most frequently cited barriers.

Table 3. Perceived Barriers to Strategic Management Effectiveness (n = 120)

Barrier	Mean (M)	Std. Dev. (SD)	Rank
Lack of management competencies in strategic planning	5.91	1.02	1
Insufficient financial resources for strategy implementation	5.73	1.14	2
Resistance to organizational change	5.62	1.08	3
Inadequate performance monitoring systems	5.48	1.19	4
Weak alignment between strategy and operations	5.31	1.23	5
Limited use of digital tools in strategy execution	5.14	1.31	6
Absence of a structured strategic planning process	4.97	1.28	7
Poor communication of strategic objectives to employees	4.85	1.35	8
External market volatility	4.72	1.41	9
Lack of stakeholder engagement in strategy formulation	4.58	1.44	10

### 3.4 Regression Analysis: Predictors of Strategic Performance

A multiple regression analysis was conducted to identify the strongest predictors of organizational performance as measured by the composite Strategic Performance Index (SPI). Four independent variables were entered into the model: Strategic Planning Formality (SPF), Digital Tool Integration (DTI), Leadership Commitment to Strategy (LCS), and Employee Strategic Awareness (ESA). Figure 1 presents the standardized regression coefficients and their significance levels.

Figure 1. Standardized Regression Coefficients for Predictors of Strategic Performance Index

Predictor Variable	Beta ( $\beta$ )	t-value	p-value	Significance
Leadership Commitment to Strategy (LCS)	0.421	5.83	< 0.001	***
Digital Tool Integration (DTI)	0.318	4.27	< 0.001	***
Employee Strategic Awareness (ESA)	0.264	3.61	< 0.001	***
Strategic Planning Formality (SPF)	0.197	2.54	0.012	**

$R^2 = 0.614$ ; Adjusted  $R^2 = 0.601$ ;  $F(4, 115) = 45.72$ ,  $p < 0.001$

Note: \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ . Dependent variable: Strategic Performance Index (SPI)

The regression model explained 61.4% of the variance in strategic performance ( $R^2 = 0.614$ ,  $F(4, 115) = 45.72$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Leadership Commitment to Strategy emerged as the strongest predictor ( $\beta = 0.421$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), followed by Digital Tool Integration ( $\beta = 0.318$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), Employee Strategic Awareness ( $\beta = 0.264$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and Strategic Planning Formality ( $\beta = 0.197$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ).

## DISCUSSION

### The Role of Strategic Integration in Organizational Performance

The findings presented in this study provide compelling empirical support for the proposition that strategic management integration is a significant driver of organizational performance in modern enterprises. The substantial performance differentials observed between Low, Moderate, and High Integration groups—particularly the 23-percentage-point gap in revenue growth between the extreme categories—are consistent with the predictions of the dynamic capabilities framework and the resource-based view of the firm [12].

These results suggest that enterprises which invest in developing coherent, integrated strategic management systems are substantially better positioned to generate superior financial and operational outcomes. This finding aligns with the work of Hitt, Ireland, and Hoskisson [13], who argue that strategic integration—defined as the alignment of strategic intent, resource deployment, and operational execution—constitutes a core organizational competence that is difficult for competitors to imitate and therefore a potential source of sustainable competitive advantage.

The particularly strong performance outcomes observed among High Integration enterprises may reflect not only the direct benefits of coordinated strategy execution, but also the indirect benefits associated with higher employee satisfaction scores ( $M = 5.93$ ). Research in organizational behavior consistently demonstrates that employee engagement and satisfaction are positively correlated with productivity, retention, and customer service quality [14], suggesting that strategic management integration creates value through multiple, mutually reinforcing pathways.

### Barriers to Strategic Management Effectiveness

The identification of management competency gaps as the most significant barrier to strategic management effectiveness ( $M = 5.91$ ) has important theoretical and practical implications. From a theoretical perspective, this finding is consistent with the knowledge-based view of the firm [15], which posits that organizational knowledge and managerial cognition are fundamental determinants of strategic decision quality. The prevalence of competency gaps in the sample, particularly in a transitional economy context, reflects the broader challenge of human capital development in rapidly evolving market environments.



The prominence of resistance to organizational change as the third-ranked barrier ( $M = 5.62$ ) underscores the importance of change management capabilities in strategy implementation. This finding resonates with Kotter's foundational work on organizational transformation, which identifies resistance to change as a primary source of strategy implementation failure. The qualitative interview data further illuminate the mechanisms underlying this resistance, with several executives noting that employees and middle managers frequently perceive strategic change initiatives as threatening to established routines, status hierarchies, and job security.

The relatively high rating assigned to Limited Use of Digital Tools in Strategy Execution ( $M = 5.14$ ) is particularly noteworthy in light of the regression analysis results, which identified Digital Tool Integration as the second-strongest predictor of strategic performance. Taken together, these findings suggest that there is a significant and costly gap between the recognized importance of digital tools in strategic management and their actual adoption rates among enterprises in the sample. This gap may reflect both resource constraints and a lack of digital literacy among senior management teams.

### Predictors of Strategic Performance: Theoretical Implications

The regression analysis results reveal that Leadership Commitment to Strategy is the strongest individual predictor of strategic performance ( $\beta = 0.421$ ), a finding that is robustly supported by the strategic leadership literature. Finkelstein, Hambrick, and Cannella [16] argue that top management team characteristics and behaviors exert a disproportionate influence on organizational strategy and performance, particularly in environments characterized by high uncertainty and complexity. The prominent role of leadership commitment identified in this study extends this line of argument by demonstrating its significance in a transitional economy context where institutional frameworks for strategic accountability may be less developed.

The significant contribution of Employee Strategic Awareness ( $\beta = 0.264$ ) to organizational performance adds nuance to traditional top-down conceptions of strategy. This finding is consistent with the emerging literature on strategy-as-practice, which emphasizes the role of middle managers and frontline employees in strategy implementation [17]. Enterprises in which employees understand and are aligned with organizational strategic objectives appear to exhibit meaningfully better performance outcomes, suggesting that investment in strategic communication and employee development may yield substantial returns.

From a theoretical perspective, the combined explanatory power of the four predictors ( $R^2 = 0.614$ ) suggests that strategic performance is a multi-dimensional phenomenon that cannot be reduced to any single driver. The relative importance of leadership, digital integration, employee awareness, and planning formality in the regression model reflects the multi-layered nature of effective strategic management, and suggests that improvement efforts must address organizational, human, and technological dimensions simultaneously.

### Implications for the Dynamic Capabilities Framework

This study makes a contribution to the dynamic capabilities framework by demonstrating how capability-building in the domains of leadership development, digital technology adoption, and employee engagement can produce measurable improvements in strategic performance within transitional market contexts. While the dynamic capabilities framework has been extensively developed and tested in advanced market economies [18, 19], its application to enterprises in countries like Uzbekistan has received comparatively little empirical attention.

The findings suggest that the core logic of the dynamic capabilities framework—namely, that firms can achieve superior performance by developing the capacity to sense opportunities, seize them through strategic investment, and reconfigure organizational assets accordingly—applies with some modifications to transitional economies. Specifically, in these contexts, the role of external institutional support, including government policy, business associations, and educational institutions, appears to play a more prominent role in enabling capability development than existing frameworks typically acknowledge.

The qualitative interview data further reveal that enterprises in the High Integration category have typically developed explicit mechanisms for environmental scanning, knowledge sharing, and strategic review that are absent or underdeveloped in Low Integration firms. These mechanisms appear to function as organizational routines that embed dynamic capability logic into day-to-day management practice, consistent with the view of capabilities as practiced, emergent phenomena rather than static organizational attributes [20].

### Practical Implications for Managers and Policymakers

For practicing managers, the findings of this study suggest several actionable priorities for strategic management improvement. First, the primacy of leadership commitment as a performance driver underscores the importance of investing in leadership development programs that build strategic thinking competencies among senior and middle management. Enterprises should consider structured programs that combine formal



training with experiential learning opportunities such as strategic simulation exercises and cross-functional project teams.

Second, the significant contribution of digital tool integration to strategic performance, combined with the relatively low current adoption rates observed in the sample, highlights a substantial opportunity for competitive differentiation through digitalization. Enterprises should prioritize the adoption of integrated strategic management platforms that support data-driven strategy formulation, real-time performance monitoring, and scenario planning. Policymakers can support this transition by providing targeted subsidies, technical assistance, and regulatory incentives for digital transformation investments.

Third, the findings regarding employee strategic awareness suggest that enterprises should invest in systematic programs to communicate strategic objectives throughout the organization and create mechanisms for employee participation in strategy development. These efforts may include regular strategic briefings, employee engagement surveys, and cascading balanced scorecard systems that translate organizational strategy into team and individual performance goals.

## CONCLUSION

This study has investigated the factors influencing the effectiveness of strategic management systems in modern enterprises, with particular attention to the transitional economy context of Uzbekistan. The findings demonstrate that the level of strategic management integration is a significant predictor of organizational performance, with High Integration enterprises outperforming their peers by substantial margins across multiple performance dimensions. Regression analysis identified Leadership Commitment to Strategy, Digital Tool Integration, Employee Strategic Awareness, and Strategic Planning Formality as the strongest predictors of strategic performance, collectively accounting for 61.4% of variance in the Strategic Performance Index.

From a theoretical standpoint, this research contributes to the strategic management literature by extending the dynamic capabilities framework into a transitional economy context and demonstrating the empirical validity of its core propositions in this setting. The study also enriches the strategy-as-practice perspective by showing that employee-level strategic awareness has measurable effects on organizational performance, consistent with the view that strategy is enacted through the distributed activities of organizational members at multiple levels.

Practically, the study provides managers and policymakers with a clear, evidence-based understanding of the priority areas for strategic management improvement. The critical role of leadership commitment suggests that investments in management development will yield the highest returns, while the identified gap between the recognized and actual adoption of digital tools points to a significant opportunity for enterprise-level and policy-level intervention. The finding that employee strategic awareness significantly predicts performance suggests that communication and participation mechanisms should be integral to any strategic management improvement initiative.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The cross-sectional design of the quantitative component precludes causal inferences about the relationships between strategic management practices and performance outcomes. The geographic concentration of the sample within Uzbekistan may limit the generalizability of findings to other transitional or emerging market contexts. Additionally, the use of self-reported survey data introduces potential common method bias, although procedural remedies including temporal separation of measures and Harman's single-factor test were employed to mitigate this risk.

Future research should adopt longitudinal designs to examine how changes in strategic management capabilities translate into performance improvements over time. Multi-country comparative studies would enhance the generalizability of findings and enable examination of how institutional and cultural factors moderate the relationships identified in this study. Researchers should also explore the specific mechanisms through which digital tool integration affects strategic management effectiveness, as this relationship is likely to grow in importance as organizations increasingly navigate digitally mediated competitive environments.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

[1] T. Bush, *Theories of Educational Leadership and Management*, 4th ed. London, UK: SAGE Publications, 2011.

[2] P. Hallinger and R. H. Heck, "Exploring the principal's contribution to school effectiveness: 1980–1995," *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 157–191, 1998.

[3] P. Hallinger and R. H. Heck, "Reassessing the principal's role in school effectiveness: A review of empirical research, 1980–1995," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 5–44, 1996.



[4] K. Leithwood, C. Day, P. Sammons, A. Harris, and D. Hopkins, *Seven Strong Claims about Successful School Leadership*. Nottingham, UK: National College for School Leadership, 2006.

[5] K. Leithwood, A. Harris, and D. Hopkins, "Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited," *School Leadership & Management*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 5–22, 2020.

[6] M. Fullan and J. Quinn, *Coherence: The Right Drivers in Action for Schools, Districts, and Systems*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, 2016.

[7] A. Hargreaves and M. Fullan, *Professional Capital: Transforming Teaching in Every School*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press, 2012.

[8] J. P. Spillane, *Distributed Leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2006.

[9] V. M. Robinson, C. A. Lloyd, and K. J. Rowe, "The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 635–674, 2008.

[10] M. Brundrett and C. Rhodes, *Researching Educational Leadership and Management*. London, UK: SAGE Publications, 2010.

[11] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

[12] J. P. Kotter, *Leading Change*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

[13] D. J. Teece, G. Pisano, and A. Shuen, "Dynamic capabilities and strategic management," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 509–533, 1997.

[14] C. E. Helfat and M. A. Peteraf, "The dynamic resource-based view: Capability lifecycles," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 997–1010, 2003.

[15] ISO 21001:2018. *Educational Organizations — Management Systems for Educational Organizations — Requirements with Guidance for Use*. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization, 2018.

[16] O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining "Ta'lim va fan sohalarini 2030-yilgacha rivojlantirishga oid harakatlar strategiyasi to'g'risida"gi PF-5847-son Farmoni. Toshkent, 2019.



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

**Ingliz tili muharriri:** Feruz HAKIMOV

**Musahhih:** Zokir ALIBEKOV

**Sahifalovchi va dizayner:** Hasan MAQSUDOV

---

**2026. № 6/1**

---

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Yashil" iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelmasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

Mazkur jurnalda maqolalar chop etish uchun quyidagi havolalarga maqola, reklama, hikoya va boshqa ijodiy materiallar yuborishingiz mumkin. Materiallar va reklamalar pullik asosda chop etiladi.

EI.Pochta: sq143235@gmail.com

Bot: @iqtisodiyot\_77

Tel.: 93 718 40 07

Jurnalga istalgan payt quyidagi rekvizitlar orqali obuna bo'lishingiz mumkin. Obuna bo'lgach, @iqtisodiyot\_77 telegram sahifamizga to'lov haqidagi ma'lumotni skrinshot yoki foto shaklida jo'natishingizni so'raymiz. Shu asosda har oygi jurnal yangi sonini manzilingizga jo'natamiz.

"Yashil" iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot" jurnali 03.11.2022-yildan O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №566955 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.

**Litsenziya raqami:** №046523. PNFL: 30407832680027

**Manzilimiz:** Toshkent shahar, Mirzo Ulug'bek tumani  
Kumushkon ko'chasi, 26-uy.



Jurnal sayti: <https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz>