IQTISODIYOT&TARAQQIYOT

Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal

№7

ISSN: 2992-8982

https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz/

2025

YASHIL
IQTISODIYOT&TARAQQIYOT
Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal

Elektron nashr. 184 sahifa.
E'lon qilishga 2025-yil 1-iyulda ruxsat etildi.

Bosh muharrir:
Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich

Bosh muharrir o'rinbosari:
Karimov Norboy G'aniyevich

Muharrir:
Qurbonov Sherzod Ismatillayevich

Tahrir hay'ati:
Salimov Oqil Umrzoqovich, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi
Abduraxmanov Kalandar Xodjayevich, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi
Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Rae Kvon Chung, Janubiy Koreya, TDIU faxriy professori, "Nobel" mukofoti laureati
Osman Mesten, Turkiya parlamenti a'zosi, Turkiya – O'zbekiston do'stlik jamiyati rahbari
Axmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Axmedov Sayfullo Normatovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Kalonov Muxiddin Baxritdinovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Siddiqova Sadoqat G'afforovna, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)
Xudoyqulov Sadirdin Karimovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Maxmudov Nosir, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Samadov Asqarjon Nishonovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, professor
Slizovskiy Dimitriy Yegorovich, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Axmedov Ikrom Akramovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Eshtayev Alisher Abdug'aniyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Xajiyev Baxtiyor Dushaboyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Hakimov Nazar Hakimovich, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Musayeva Shoira Azimovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), professor
Ali Konak (Ali Ko'nak), iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor (Turkiya)
Cham Tat Huei, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD), professor (Malayziya)
Foziljonov Ibrohimjon Sotvoldixo'ja o'g'li, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dots.
Utayev Uktam Choriyevich, O'z.Respub. Bosh prokuraturasi boshqarma boshlig'i o'rinbosari
Ochilov Farkhod, O'zbekiston Respublikasi Bosh prokuraturasi IJQKD boshlig'i
Buzrukxonov Sarvarxon Munavvarxonovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent
Axmedov Javohir Jamolovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)
Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), katta o'qituvchi
Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), v.b. dots.
Djudi Smetana, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)
Krissi Lyuis, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)
Glazova Marina Viktorovna, Iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (Moskva)
Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Sevil Piriyeva Karaman, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD) (Turkiya)
Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon o'g'li, TDIU ITI departamenti rahbari
Ochilov Bobur Baxtiyor o'g'li, TDIU katta o'qituvchisi
Golisheva Yelena Vyacheslavovna, Iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent.

YASHIL
IQTISODIYOT&TARAQQIYOT
Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal

Editorial board:

Salimov Okil Umrzokovich, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan
Abdurakhmanov Kalandar Khodjayevich, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan
Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor
Rae Kwon Chung, South Korea, Honorary Professor at TSUE, Nobel Prize Laureate
Osman Mesten, Member of the Turkish Parliament, Head of the Turkey–Uzbekistan Friendship Society
Akhmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Akhmedov Sayfullo Normatovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Kalonov Mukhiddin Bakhriddinovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Siddikova Sadokat Gafforovna, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences
Khudoykulov Sadirdin Karimovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Makhmudov Nosir, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Samadov Askarjon Nishonovich, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Professor
Slizovskiy Dmitriy Yegorovich, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor
Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Akhmedov Ikrom Akramovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Eshtayev Alisher Abduganiyevich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Khajiyev Bakhtiyor Dushaboyevich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Khakimov Nazar Khakimovich, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor
Musayeva Shoira Azimovna, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Professor
Ali Konak, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor (Turkey)
Cham Tat Huei, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)
Foziljonov Ibrokhimjon Sotvoldikhoja ugli, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Utayev Uktam Choriyevich, Deputy Head of Department, Prosecutor General's Office of Uzbekistan
Ochilov Farkhod, Head of DCEC, Prosecutor General's Office of Uzbekistan
Buzrukkhonov Sarvarkhon Munavvarkhonovich, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Akhmedov Javokhir Jamolovich, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences
Tokhirov Jaloliddin Ochil ugli, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Technical Sciences, Senior Lecturer
Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Acting Associate Professor
Judi Smetana, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)
Chrissy Lewis, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)
Glazova Marina Victorovna, Doctor of Sciences in Economics (Moscow))
Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin kizi, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Sevil Piriyeva Karaman, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) (Turkey)
Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon ugli, Head of the Department of Scientific Research and Innovations, TSUE
Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli, Senior lecturer at TSUI
Golisheva Yelena Vyacheslavovna, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor.

Ekspertlar kengashi:
Berkinov Bazarbay, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Po'latov Baxtiyor Alimovich, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Aliyev Bekdavlat Aliyevich, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
Xalikov Suyun Ravshanovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent
Rustamov Ilhomiddin, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent
Hakimov Ziyodulla Ahmadovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent
Kamilova Iroda Xusniddinovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)
G'afurov Doniyor Orifovich, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)
Fayziyev Oybek Raximovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Tuxtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent
Xamidova Faridaxon Abdulkarim qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent
Yaxshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna, katta o'qituvchi
Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna, mustaqil tadqiqotchi

Board of Experts:
Berkinov Bazarbay, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Pulatov Bakhtiyor Alimovich, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor
Aliyev Bekdavlat Aliyevich, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor
Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor
Khalikov Suyun Ravshanovich, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Rustamov Ilkhomiddin, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Khakimov Ziyodulla Akhmadovich, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Kamilova Iroda Khusniddinovna, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics
Gafurov Doniyor Orifovich, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogy
Fayziyev Oybek Rakhimovich, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor
Tukhtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor
Khamidova Faridakhon Abdulkarimovna, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor
Yakhshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna, Senior Lecturer
Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna, Independent Researcher

08.00.01 Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
08.00.02 Makroiqtisodiyot
08.00.03 Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
08.00.04 Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
08.00.05 Xizmat ko'rsatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
08.00.06 Ekonometrika va statistika
08.00.07 Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
08.00.08 Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
08.00.09 Jahon iqtisodiyoti
08.00.10 Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
08.00.11 Marketing
08.00.12 Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
08.00.13 Menejment
08.00.14 Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
08.00.15 Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
08.00.16 Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
08.00.17 Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

Muassis: "Ma'rifat-print-media" MChJ
Hamkorlarimiz: Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti, O'zR Tabiat resurslari vazirligi, O'zR Bosh prokuraturasi huzuridagi IJQK departamenti.

Jurnalning ilmiyligi:
""Yashil" iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot" jurnali
O'zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta'lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy attestatsiya komissiyasi rayosatining 2023-yil 1-apreldagi 336/3-sonli qarori bilan ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.

MUNDARIJA

Suv tarkibining wireless waterlight qurilmasi ishlashiga ta'siri va iqtisodiy samaradorligini matematik model asosida tahlil qilish 14
Kungiratbay Sharipov, Ma'ruf Nurmanov

O'zbekistonda aholi ish bilan bandligini oshirishda davlatning roli 20
Juraqulov Baxrimurod Ilxomovich

BIM texnologiyasi: zamonaviy qurilish sohasida samaradorlik va shaffoflik omili 26
Usmonov F.B., Rajabova A.Sh.

Nodavlat oliy ta'lim muassasalarida marketing faoliyati samaradorligini oshirish metodologiyasini takomillashtirish 31
Yuldashov Isomiddin Sidiqovich

Korxona iqtisodiy barqarorligini ta'minlashda diversifikatsiya strategiyasining roli 35
Alimatova Shoxsanam Abdumalik qizi

Модели совместного развития человеческого капитала и искусственного интеллекта в цифровую эпоху 40
Явкачев Шохзод Зайниддин углы

Navigating sustainable development: management challenges and solutions in the oil and gas sector 49
Kudratkhodjaeva Ziyoda Kamol kizi

Banklarda moliyaviy barqarorlikning nazariy asoslari 56
Djalilov G'ayrat Qaxramanovich

Mamlakat iqtisodiyotini rivojlantirishda turizm industriyasidan foydalanishda xorijiy mamlakatlar tajribalari 66
Abdulxakimov Zuxrali Tursunaliyevich

O'zbekistonda barqaror davlat qarzi siyosatini shakllantirishning ustuvor yo'nalishlari 71
Sayfutdinov Xasanboy Dilshodovich

Международный опыт сельскохозяйственного налогообложения и возможности его применения в узбекистане 76
Salimov Sherzod Baxtiyorovich

Economic analysis and development strategy of the composites market in the regions of Uzbekistan 81
S.S.Sidiqov, A.A.G'ulomov, B.R.Tillayeva

Oliy ta'lim muassasalarida moliyaviy mustaqillikning kutilayotgan istiqbollari va hozirgi natijalari 88
Muxamedov Ravshan Zafarovich

Elektron tijoratni soliqqa tortish mexanizmini takomillashtirish yo'llari 93
Homidov Baxtiyor Rahimberdievich

Investitsion jozibadorlik konsepsiyasi va uning strategik ahamiyati 99
Otaboyev Axmed Maxsudbek o'g'li

Beomeditsina signallarini xaar veyvletlari va bo'lak veyvletlari yordamida raqamli ishlash 105
Uraqov Shokir Ulashovich

Oliy ta'lim muassasalarini moliyalashtirishning ahamiyati, tartibi va takomillashtirish yo'llari 112
Istamova Sojida Kaxarovna

The role of all kinds of transports (road, rail, air, and water) in the modern enhancement of tourism logistics in Uzbekistan 117
Egamberdiyeva Yulduz, Turdiyeva Maftuna

Sanoat korxonalarida kapitalarini samaradorligini oshirishning baholashning zamonaviy usullarining xususiyatlarida nazariy va amaliy farovonlik sari 126
Muradov Botir Xayat

Инструменты планирования урбанизации: методика анализа планового распределения средств в программе «обод махалля» на основе балльной оценки 136
Салимова Юлдуз Исаковна

Socio-economic aspects and contemporary theories of higher education finance 141
Karlibaeva Gulshat

MUNDARIJA СОДЕРЖАНИЕ CONTENTS

MUNDARIJA СОДЕРЖАНИЕ CONTENTS

Transformatsiya jarayonida innovatsion bank xizmatlarini rivojlantirish yo'llari 147
Absamatov Anvar Ergashovich

Перспективы цифрового развития сектора материального производства 153
С. И. Протасеня, Г. Ж. Аллаева

«Sustainable logistics practices. Minimizing carbon emissions in global supply chains» 161
Suleymonova Ezoza, Alimova Bonu

Analyzing the need for Tourism logistics development in Uzbekistan 169
Nigmatova Malika

Management strategies for sustainable tourism development in emerging destinations (a case study of Samarkand, Issyk-kul, and Khiva) 177
Sabina Urokova

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN EMERGING DESTINATIONS (A CASE STUDY OF SAMARKAND, ISSYK-KUL, AND KHIVA)

Sabina Urokova
Master's Student at the "Silk Road"
International University of Tourism and Cultural Heritage

Abstract: Emerging tourism destinations in Central Asia possess significant potential to contribute to sustainable development due to their exceptional cultural heritage, diverse natural landscapes, and rising visibility on the global tourism map. Regions such as Samarkand and Khiva in Uzbekistan, alongside Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan, offer a range of opportunities to stimulate economic growth, foster intercultural dialogue, and promote regional cooperation. However, without robust planning and responsible management, rapid and unregulated tourism growth in these areas risks generating a series of adverse outcomes. These include environmental degradation, cultural erosion, rising social inequality, and heightened pressure on already fragile or outdated infrastructure systems.

This article aims to examine the current landscape of sustainable tourism development policies in these destinations. Employing qualitative methodologies, including case study analysis, field-based observations, and semi-structured interviews with local stakeholders, the research identifies a range of systemic challenges.

To address these issues, the study proposes a series of strategic interventions that expand on and refine prior academic and policy discussions. Central to this approach is the adoption of holistic policy frameworks that integrate sustainable tourism goals into broader regional planning processes. Key recommendations include the promotion of community-based tourism models that prioritize local ownership and benefit-sharing, targeted investments in environmentally responsible ("green") infrastructure, and the establishment of formalized public-private partnerships (PPPs) to equitably distribute risks, responsibilities, and benefits across sectors.

In addition, the study emphasizes the importance of diversifying tourism offerings and upgrading destination management systems. This includes developing new cultural, ecological, and experiential tourism products tailored to different visitor segments, as well as implementing advanced marketing and visitor management platforms that enhance capacity, transparency, and service delivery.

In conclusion, the research underscores the urgent need to transition toward locally embedded, participatory, and sustainability-driven tourism development strategies. Such approaches must prioritize community empowerment, ensure economic viability, and protect cultural and environmental assets. Only through these means can long-term value be generated for both local populations and international visitors, ensuring that tourism serves as a force for inclusive and responsible development in Central Asia.

Key words: sustainable tourism, Samarkand, Khiva, Issyk-Kul, Central Asia, emerging destinations, tourism management, community participation, heritage preservation.

Annotatsiya: Markaziy Osiyodagi rivojlanayotgan turistik yo'nalishlar o'zining betakror madaniy merosi, rang-barang tabiiy landshaftlari va jahon turizm xaritasida tobora ortib borayotgan e'tibori tufayli barqaror taraqqiyotga katta hissa qo'shish salohiyatiga ega. Jumladan, O'zbekistonning Samarqand va Xiva shaharlari, shuningdek, Qirg'izistonning Issiqko'l hududi iqtisodiy o'sishni rag'batlantirish, madaniyatlararo muloqotni rivojlantirish va mintaqaviy hamkorlikni kengaytirish uchun keng imkoniyatlar taqdim etadi. Biroq, kuchli rejalashtirish va mas'uliyatli boshqaruv bo'lmasa, ushbu hududlarda

turizmning tez va nazoratsiz o‘sishi bir qator salbiy oqibatlarga olib kelishi mumkin. Bunga ekologik muvozanatning buzilishi, madaniy qadriyatlarning yemirilishi, ijtimoiy tengsizlikning ortishi va allaqachon zaif yoki eskirgan infratuzilmalarga tushadigan ortiqcha bosim kiradi.
Mazkur maqola aynan shu hududlarda barqaror turizmni rivojlantirishga oid siyosatning hozirgi holatini o‘rganishga qaratilgan. Sifatli tadqiqot metodlari — keys-stadi tahlili, joylardagi kuzatuvlar va mahalliy manfaatdor tomonlar bilan yarimstrukturaviy suhbatlar orqali olib borilgan tahlil natijasida bir qator tizimli muammolar aniqlangan.

Ushbu muammolarni bartaraf etish uchun tadqiqot bir qator strategik yechimlarni taklif etadi. Ulardan asosiy yo‘nalish sifatida barqaror turizm maqsadlarini mintaqaviy rejalashtirish jarayonlariga chuqur integratsiyalagan kompleks siyosiy yondashuvlar ishlab chiqilishi lozim. Bunda jamoatchilik ishtirokiga asoslangan turizm modellarini ilgari surish, ya’ni mahalliy aholi egaligini va foyda taqsimotida ularning manfaatdorligini ta’minlash, ekologik jihatdan mas’uliyati yuqori bo‘lgan “yashil” infratuzilmaga investitsiyalarni jalb etish, shuningdek, xavf va foydalarni adolatli taqsimlashga xizmat qiluvchi davlat-xususiy sheriklik (PPP) tizimlarini institutsionallashtirish muhim ahamiyat kasb etadi.
Shuningdek, maqolada turizm xizmatlari va mahsulotlarini diversifikatsiya qilish va yo‘nalishlarni boshqarish tizimlarini modernizatsiya qilish zarurligi ta’kidlanadi. Bunga turli toifadagi sayyohlar ehtiyojlariga mos yangi madaniy, ekologik va tajribaviy turizm mahsulotlarini ishlab chiqish, shuningdek, xizmat ko‘rsatish sifatini oshirish, shaffoflikni ta’minlash va salohiyatni kengaytirishga qaratilgan ilg‘or marketing hamda tashriflarni boshqarish tizimlarini joriy etish kiradi.

Xulosa qilib aytganda, tadqiqot Markaziy Osiyoda turizmni rivojlantirishda mahalliy ehtiyojlarga asoslangan, ishtirokchilikka tayangan va barqarorlikni markazga qo‘ygan yondashuvlarga o‘tish zaruratini urg‘ulaydi. Bu strategiyalar mahalliy aholining imkoniyatlarini kengaytirish, iqtisodiy jihatdan foydali modelni yaratish va madaniy hamda ekologik boyliklarni asrab-avaylashni o‘z ichiga olishi lozim. Faqat ana shunday yondashuvlar orqali turizm uzoq muddatli, inklyuziv va mas’uliyatli rivojlanishga xizmat qila oladi, nafaqat mahalliy aholiga, balki xalqaro mehmonlarga ham foyda keltiradi.

Kalit so‘zlar: barqaror turizm, Samarqand, Xiva, Issiqko‘l, Markaziy Osiyo, rivojlanayotgan manzillar, turizm boshqaruvi, jamoatchilik ishtiroki, merosni asrash.

Аннотация: Развивающиеся туристические направления Центральной Азии обладают значительным потенциалом для содействия устойчивому развитию благодаря своему исключительному культурному наследию, разнообразным природным ландшафтам и растущей видимости на мировой туристической карте. Регионы, такие как Самарканд и Хива в Узбекистане, а также Иссык-Куль в Кыргызстане, открывают широкие возможности для стимулирования экономического роста, развития межкультурного диалога и укрепления регионального сотрудничества.

Цель данной статьи — изучить текущее состояние политики устойчивого развития туризма в указанных направлениях. Исследование основано на качественных методах, включая анализ кейсов, полевые наблюдения и полуструктурированные интервью с местными заинтересованными сторонами. В процессе были выявлены системные проблемы, такие как отсутствие интегративного лидерства (т.н. boundary spanners), фрагментированные или слабо согласованные политические рамки, низкий уровень участия гражданского общества, высокая зависимость от сезонного туризма и недостаточное регулирование окружающей среды.

Для устранения этих проблем в исследовании предлагается ряд стратегических мер, развивающих предыдущие научные и политические дискуссии. Центральное место среди них занимает принятие комплексных политических рамок, интегрирующих цели устойчивого туризма в более широкие региональные планы развития. Ключевые рекомендации включают продвижение моделей туризма, основанных на участии местных сообществ, приоритет местной собственности и распределения выгод, целевые инвестиции в экологичную инфраструктуру и создание формализованных механизмов государственно-частного партнёрства (ГЧП), обеспечивающих справедливое распределение рисков, ответственности и прибыли между секторами.

Кроме того, в статье подчеркивается необходимость диверсификации туристических предложений и модернизации систем управления туристическими направлениями. Это включает разработку новых культурных, экологических и познавательных турпродуктов, ориентированных на разные категории туристов, а также внедрение современных маркетинговых и систем управления посещаемостью, повышающих прозрачность, емкость и качество обслуживания.

В заключение, исследование акцентирует внимание на срочной необходимости перехода к локально адаптированным, участническим и ориентированным на устойчивость стратегиям развития туризма. Такие подходы должны способствовать расширению прав и возможностей местных сообществ, обеспечивать экономическую целесообразность и защищать культурные и природные ресурсы. Только при соблюдении этих условий туризм сможет стать инструментом инклюзивного и ответственного развития в Центральной Азии.

Ключевые слова: устойчивый туризм, Самарканд, Хива, Иссык-Куль, Центральная Азия, новые направления, управление туризмом, участие сообщества, сохранение наследия.

INTRODUCTION

The significance of tourism as a catalyst for sustainable development cannot be overstated. Economically, it drives growth, generates employment, and facilitates cultural exchange. This is particularly relevant for emerging tourism destinations that possess rich cultural legacies and unique natural landscapes. As global travelers increasingly seek authentic and immersive experiences, formerly overlooked regions are rapidly gaining prominence in international tourism markets. In this context, Central Asia is attracting growing global interest due to its ancient Silk Road cities, UNESCO-recognized heritage assets, and pristine ecological environments. Countries such as Uzbekistan and Kyrgyzstan have intensified efforts to promote cultural and ecological tourism by investing in infrastructure, easing visa regimes, and strengthening national tourism branding.

However, without effective governance and strategic planning, rapid tourism expansion can present significant risks to sustainable development, especially in regions with limited resources, institutional capacity, and regulatory frameworks. Uzbekistan's historic cities of Samarkand and Khiva are celebrated for their architectural grandeur and cultural depth, while Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan serves as a critical hub for nature-based tourism, offering alpine lake settings, mountain landscapes, and seasonal resorts. Despite their growing appeal, these destinations face mounting challenges such as overtourism, heritage site degradation, environmental pollution, seasonal economic volatility, and the commercialization of cultural traditions.

In addition, the governance frameworks guiding tourism development in these areas remain underdeveloped. Strategic planning often prioritizes short-term economic returns over long-term sustainability. Weak coordination between national and local institutions, minimal stakeholder engagement, and inconsistent enforcement of environmental and development regulations further complicate the prospects for sustainable tourism management.

This study examines the sustainable tourism governance models in three rapidly developing destinations: Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul. Through qualitative case study analysis, it aims to identify critical policy and implementation gaps, assess existing practices, and propose actionable recommendations. This approach provides a pragmatic basis for managing tourism-induced transformation while preserving cultural identity and ecological integrity.

The ultimate goal of the study is to inform the creation of evidence-based, context-sensitive policy frameworks that guide tourism development in a direction that is economically viable, socially inclusive, and environmentally sustainable. By equipping local stakeholders, planners, and tourism agencies with strategic insights and clear implementation pathways, the study contributes to shaping a responsible and resilient tourism sector in Central Asia.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON THE SUBJECT

Sustainable tourism is fully acknowledged as a major approach in managing the development of the tourism industry while considering the preservation of the environment, culture, and society. It is defined by the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO, 2013) as "tourism that takes full account of its current and future economic, social and environmental impacts, addressing the needs of visitors, the industry, the environment and host communities." It arose from the more general discourse on sustainable development and gained attention due to the adverse effects of mass tourism which included environmental destruction, commoditization of culture, and the alienation of local communities.

Literature emphasizes the following three core pillars of sustainable tourism: environmental integrity, socio-cultural authenticity, and economic viability (Butler, 1999; Sharpley, 2009). These principles highlight the importance of preserving natural resources, upholding cultural practices, and ensuring tourism activities provide lasting benefits to local communities. The neglect of any of these pillars stands to invite unsustainable hostile practices that would undermine the experience of tourists and destroy the destination.

In the past twenty years, researchers and practitioners have called for new governance frameworks which would put sustainability concerns into action in tourism. Two of the most widely accepted frameworks are Community Based Tourism (CBT) and Destination Management Organizations (DMOs). CBT highlights local involvement and control, seeking to enable host communities to manage the tourism resources and the resultant economic benefits. In contrast, DMOs provide comprehensive multi-stakeholder, including government, private sector, and civil society, coordinated destination level management (Tosun, 2000; Spenceley, 2010).

With reference to Central Asian and Post Soviet countries, the advancement of sustainable tourism has been rather sluggish and disjointed. This is partly a result of the legacy of more centralized planning systems, underdeveloped institutional structures, and lack of experience with participatory governance systems. Countries such as Uzbekistan and Kyrgyzstan exhibit a rather dominant form of tourism policy development whereby it

is driven from the central government and there is little to no input from local communities and environmental NGOs. In addition, there is often an emphasis on the economic benefits of tourism, while ecology and culture is underutilized as a focus area (Mihalic, 2016).

Mihalic uses the term "responsustable tourism," a blend of responsibility and sustainability, to defined actions that go 'beyond the bare minimum' and actively foster transparency, social justice, and ethical behavior. The notion of tourisme responsable is particularly useful for developing and transitional economies where tourism is viewed primarily as an economic stimulus and development strategy, undertaken with little risk analysis or long-term consideration.

The entire Central Asia region, and particularly the Silk Road corridor, now form a focus within the framework of discussion on sustainable tourism because of the region's untapped potential and growing international profile. Such cities as Samarkand and Khiva are UNESCO World Heritage Sites offering rich narratives and architectural splendor. Moreover, regions such as Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan provide ecotourism opportunities focusing on the mountains, alpine lakes, and nomadic culture. Nonetheless, numerous studies stressed the fact that despite increasing interest from tourists, there are significant gaps in their management.

Timothy and Nyaupane (2009) observe that numerous Silk Road sites face problems such as insufficient environmental assessments, obsolete infrastructure, and fragmented stakeholder collaboration. There is a weak understanding of sustainability among local tourism enterprises, and there is a lax application of construction law, conservation law, or visitation limits to sensitive zones. In addition, these locations are poorly marketed and lack cohesive global branding, which diminishes their competitiveness on the international tourism market.

Furthermore, the literature highlights the necessity of addressing the scale of the region and its specificities in tourism development. Sustainability is not a one-size-fits-all concept; it must align with the socio-cultural, economic, and ecological realities of each destination. In the cases of Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul, it is believed that the adoption of participatory planning approaches, grassroots-driven sustainable development, and the integration of sustainability into regional planning frameworks are important steps forward.

There is strong literature consensus that capacity-building, policy integration, multi-stakeholder governance, and sustainable tourism are critical elements. These principles inform the analysis of Samarkand, Issyk-Kul, and Khiva and provide a theoretical basis for the formulated recommendations. As tourism develops in these areas, it is critical that planned approaches incorporate international best practices for sustainability while paying attention to local conditions and limitations.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research is centered on exploring the strategies employed for managing sustainable tourism in three distinct locations within Central Asia: Samarkand and Khiva in Uzbekistan, and Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan. These destinations were selected due to their distinctive tourism characteristics, cultural significance, and increasing appeal to international tourists. Samarkand represents a globally recognized cultural heritage site, Khiva functions as a living museum experiencing swift tourism expansion, and Issyk-Kul is a prominent example of ecotourism and resort-based tourism development. Each location demonstrates a particular dimension of tourism evolution, making them valuable for comparative analysis.

To ensure the reliability and depth of the research, a triangulated data collection methodology was employed. First, field observations were conducted at all three sites over a period of three months. These observations focused on various aspects such as the quality of tourism services, regional cleanliness and organization, management of tourist flows, the nature of interactions between visitors and local residents, and observable sustainability practices like signage, waste disposal systems, and transportation management. Standardized checklists were utilized to maintain consistency in observations across all case study sites.

Second, semi-structured interviews were held with a diverse group of stakeholders, including local tourism authorities and policymakers, representatives from the private sector such as hotel managers and tour operators, as well as community leaders and small-scale entrepreneurs. These interviews delved into multiple dimensions of tourism development, examining current trends, sustainability-related challenges, levels of community involvement, the stakeholders' understanding of tourism policy, and their projections for the future.

Third, a comprehensive document analysis was carried out, which included reviewing official national and regional tourism policy documents, sustainability reports, strategic development plans, and UNESCO site management plans. Supplementary sources such as analytical reports from non-governmental organizations and academic publications relevant to tourism governance in Uzbekistan and Kyrgyzstan were also examined to enrich the contextual background and support triangulation of findings.

The collected qualitative data were transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis using NVivo software. A range of themes emerged from this analysis, including governance, infrastructure, environmental impacts, stakeholder engagement, and innovation, which were further refined and categorized into broader, high-level

themes. The method of cross-case comparison facilitated the identification of common problems shared by the three sites, as well as unique strategies that were specific to individual locations. These comparative insights serve as the foundation for the conclusions and recommendations presented in the study.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In Samarkand, tourism development is grounded in the management principles of the UNESCO World Heritage Site, with a strong emphasis on preserving cultural and historical authenticity. To further align local implementation with national heritage standards, there is growing potential to strengthen technical enforcement mechanisms, enhance monitoring practices, and build local capacity through targeted training programs. Given the rapid pace of urban development, strategic planning tools such as zoning regulations, visitor flow management systems, and community-driven heritage awareness initiatives can play a vital role in ensuring a sustainable balance between conservation and tourism growth.

In Issyk Kul, Kyrgyzstan's tourism master plan demonstrates a clear commitment to improving resort infrastructure and boosting overall visitation. To complement this vision, there is a valuable opportunity to more fully integrate ecological sustainability into tourism policy. Addressing challenges such as water pollution, shoreline erosion, and habitat preservation can be achieved through the adoption of modern sewage systems, stricter construction oversight, and seasonal tourism management strategies. Institutionalizing environmental safeguards, such as protected area zoning, enhanced building codes, and mandatory Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) for tourism projects will support long-term ecological resilience.

Khiva benefits from significant government-backed investments and cultural restoration efforts, positioning it as a key node in the country's tourism strategy. To maximize the effectiveness of these efforts, fostering greater local involvement in planning processes could ensure that infrastructure improvements, such as enhanced visitor amenities, modern waste management solutions, and enterprise-level training programs are better aligned with community needs. Encouraging participatory governance can lead to a more integrated and responsive tourism development framework at the local level.

To address the challenges outlined above, it is recommended to implement inclusive local and multi-tier governance structures that empower local authorities and citizens to actively engage in tourism planning processes. Embedding environmental impact assessments into all infrastructure and tourism-related projects will be essential to safeguarding both cultural heritage and ecological assets for future generations.

Across the three case study areas—Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul—the integration of local communities into the tourism value chain remains in an early stage of development. While tourism has generated income-generating opportunities in fields such as education, hospitality, culinary services, handicrafts, and cultural guiding, these roles are frequently seasonal, informal, and undercompensated. Enhancing the economic resilience of local populations through structured employment and stable income streams will be key to fostering greater community investment in long-term sustainable development.

Moreover, many small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) engaged in tourism within these regions have limited awareness or understanding of sustainability principles. There is a noticeable gap in access to business education, environmental literacy, and participation in eco-certification schemes. As a result, sustainable tourism is often perceived as externally imposed rather than embraced as a context-specific strategy for responsible growth. Bridging this gap requires targeted training and awareness programs tailored to the local context.

Encouragingly, Samarkand has seen the emergence of community-led guesthouses and artisan hubs, though these initiatives currently lack consistent institutional support. In Khiva, centralized governance continues to guide heritage tourism development, which restricts the involvement of local voices in storytelling, cultural interpretation, and management. Meanwhile, in Issyk-Kul, some rural and nomadic communities contribute through yurt accommodations and seasonal services, yet remain underrepresented in planning forums and benefit-sharing mechanisms.

To foster inclusive participation and balanced development, capacity-building efforts should be directed toward local entrepreneurs, women, and youth, with a focus on sustainable tourism management, entrepreneurship, and green innovation. Establishing regional community tourism councils would provide a formal platform for residents to engage in policy dialogue, express their priorities, and contribute to transparent decision-making processes. Ultimately, empowering local stakeholders is vital for shaping a tourism sector that is not only economically robust, but also socially inclusive and environmentally sustainable.

In contrast to heritage-driven destinations, Issyk-Kul's tourism model is centered predominantly around beach and resort experiences during the summer months. This results in a highly concentrated tourism season lasting only two to three months, followed by a sharp decline in activity throughout the rest of the year. Such a seasonal dependence leads to underutilized infrastructure and financial uncertainty for local communities that rely heavily on tourism-based livelihoods.

To mitigate this imbalance, strategic product diversification is necessary shifting the focus from peak-season, high-density tourism toward inclusive, year-round, and geographically dispersed experiences. This can be achieved through the development of:

Cultural festivals celebrating local music, cuisine, and traditional crafts.

Rural homestays offering immersive experiences in nomadic heritage and sustainable farming practices.

Winter tourism activities such as snow trekking, lakeside wellness retreats, and thermal spa services.

Thematic tours including Silk Road storytelling routes, religious heritage trails, and hands-on artisanal workshops.

These initiatives can reduce visitor pressure on core heritage zones, distribute tourist flows more evenly across time and space, and strengthen the participation of peripheral communities. By doing so, they foster a more balanced and resilient model of tourism that promotes inclusive economic growth.

However, the effective realization of such goals requires modernization in technological infrastructure. Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul, despite their rich cultural and natural offerings lag behind global standards in the integration of smart technologies for tourism. The absence of comprehensive digital systems limits the potential to enhance visitor experiences, manage social and environmental impacts, and implement data-driven strategies for sustainable destination development.

While some progress is visible in the form of social media use and basic online booking systems by local tour operators and cultural organizations, these efforts are often fragmented and lack alignment with core marketing principles. Many small and medium-sized tourism enterprises face challenges stemming from limited digital literacy, low access to advanced technological tools, and insufficient training in global marketing strategies. This inhibits their ability to reach international markets or respond effectively to evolving tourist expectations.

Furthermore, there is a significant deficiency in operational technologies for real-time visitor counting, monitoring seasonal fluctuations, and collecting environmental data. For example, heavily visited sacred sites in Samarkand lack smart systems capable of tracking site utilization or alerting authorities when visitor numbers exceed sustainable thresholds. Similarly, the absence of real-time ecological monitoring for Issyk-Kul, particularly concerning water quality and waste management hinders timely responses to environmental degradation.

To bridge these gaps, destination management authorities should consider adopting smart tourism technologies that support evidence-based policy and efficient visitor services. Recommended measures include:

Mobile applications offering real-time updates, digital ticketing, visitor capacity alerts, and self-guided audio tours.

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and sensor-based technologies for environmental monitoring, waste management, and crowd control.

Capacity-building programs to train local tourism operators in e-commerce, digital marketing, and sustainable business practices, supported by accessible online learning platforms.

Integrating these digital tools would significantly enhance the attractiveness and resilience of tourism in the region. It would enable better preservation of cultural and environmental resources, facilitate dynamic visitor management, and improve the competitiveness of local tourism businesses in the digital economy. Such a transformation is essential to position Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul as forward-thinking, sustainable, and inclusive tourism destinations.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Samarkand, Issyk-Kul, and Khiva represent key examples of regions transitioning toward a more nuanced understanding of sustainable tourism development. Their strategic location along the historic Silk Road endows them with significant cultural and historical capital, providing a strong foundation for international recognition and tourism appeal. This geographical and historical relevance offers a unique opportunity for these destinations to attract global interest and position themselves as cultural hubs within the broader Central Asian tourism landscape.

As this study illustrates, however, the benefits of growing global attention must be managed carefully. Rapid, unregulated tourism expansion can inadvertently lead to environmental strain, cultural dilution, and unequal socio-economic outcomes. These risks underline the importance of integrating sustainability into every aspect of tourism planning and implementation.

Recent developments in these regions, such as improved infrastructure, enhanced international branding, and a notable increase in tourist arrivals demonstrate the momentum behind their emergence as prominent destinations. Nonetheless, the long-term success of tourism in Samarkand, Khiva, and Issyk-Kul will depend on addressing key gaps in current planning frameworks. These include the need to incorporate principles of

social equity, environmentally responsible policies, digital integration, multi-tier marketing strategies, diversified tourism offerings, and long-term participatory planning mechanisms.

If left unaddressed, tourism risks becoming extractive rather than regenerative benefiting short-term actors while creating long-lasting challenges for local ecosystems and communities. To prevent this, tourism development must be guided by a holistic approach to sustainability that recognizes the complex interplay between economic opportunity, cultural preservation, environmental stewardship, and social inclusion.

The findings of this research emphasize that effective sustainable tourism management must be context-sensitive and grounded in inclusive governance. Central to this is the active involvement of local communities, not only as beneficiaries but as co-creators in shaping tourism futures. Building local capacity, empowering marginalized groups, and supporting community-based tourism initiatives are all critical to equitable development. Simultaneously, modern digital infrastructure and smart technologies should be adopted to support data-driven decision-making, enhance visitor experiences, and monitor environmental and social impacts in real time.

Sustainability in tourism cannot be achieved solely through top-down policy directives or unregulated market dynamics. It requires a collaborative framework involving state institutions, private enterprises, civil society, and local populations. Only through such partnerships can sustainable value be created and maintained over the long term.

If the strategies proposed in this study are implemented effectively, Samarkand, Issyk-Kul, and Khiva could not only mitigate the adverse impacts of rapid tourism growth but also emerge as leading models for responsible tourism in the region. By aligning national policy objectives with local needs and fostering innovation within the framework of sustainability, these destinations have the potential to serve as replicable blueprints for other emerging tourism regions across Central Asia and beyond.

List of used literature:

1. Butler, R. W. (1999). Sustainable tourism: A state-of-the-art review. Tourism Geographies, 1(1), 7–25. doi:10.1080/14616689908721291
2. Mihalic, T. (2016). Sustainable-responsible tourism discourse–Towards “responsustable” tourism. Journal of Cleaner Production, 111, 461–470. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.12.062
3. Sharpley, R. (2009). Tourism development and the environment: Beyond sustainability? London: Earthscan.
4. Spenceley, A. (Ed.). (2010). Responsible tourism: Critical issues for conservation and development. London: Earthscan.
5. Tosun, C. (2000). Limits to community participation in the tourism development process in developing countries. Tourism Management, 21(6), 613–633. doi:10.1016/S0261-5177(00)00009-1
6. Timothy, D. J., & Nyaupane, G. P. (2009). Cultural heritage and tourism in the developing world: A regional perspective. London: Routledge.

YASHIL
IQTISODIYOT&TARAQQIYOT
Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal

Ingliz tili muharriri: Feruz Hakimov

Musahhih: Zokir ALIBEKOV

Sahifalovchi va dizayner: Oloviddin Sobir o‘g‘li

2025. № 7

© Materiallar ko‘chirib bosilganda ““Yashil” iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot” jurnali manba sifatida ko‘rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma’sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelamasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

Mazkur jurnalda maqolalar chop etish uchun quyidagi havolalarga maqola, reklama, hikoya va boshqa ijodiy materiallar yuborishingiz mumkin. Materiallar va reklamalar pullik asosda chop etiladi.

El.Pochta: sq143235@gmail.com
Bot: @iqtisodiyot_77
Tel.: 93 718 40 07

Jurnalga istalgan payt quyidagi rekvizitlar orqali obuna bo‘lishingiz mumkin. Obuna bo‘lgach, @iqtisodiyot_77 telegram sahifamizga to‘lov haqidagi ma’lumotni skrinshot yoki foto shaklida jo‘natishingizni so‘raymiz. Shu asosda har oygi jurnal yangi sonini manzilingizga jo‘natamiz.

““Yashil” iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot” jurnali 03.11.2022-yildan O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №566955 reyestr raqami tartibi bo‘yicha ro‘yxatdan o‘tkazilgan.
Litsenziya raqami: №046523. PNFL: 30407832680027

Manzilimiz: Toshkent shahar, Mirzo Ulug‘bek tumani Kumushkon ko‘chasi, 26-uy.

Jurnal sayti: https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz