



# IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

## №7



ISSN: 2992-8982

<https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz/>

# 2025



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal

### Bosh muharrir:

Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich

### Bosh muharrir o'rinbosari:

Karimov Norboy G'aniyevich

### Muharrir:

Qurbonov Sherzod Ismatillayevich

*Elektron nashr. 476 sahifa.*

*E'lon qilishga 2025-yil 1-iyulda ruxsat etildi.*

### Tahrir hay'ati:

Salimov Oqil Umrzoqovich, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi  
Abduraxmanov Kalandar Xodjayevich, O'zbekiston Fanlar akademiyasi akademigi  
Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Rae Kvon Chung, Janubiy Koreya, TDIU faxriy professori, "Nobel" mukofoti laureati  
Osman Mesten, Turkiya parlamenti a'zosi, Turkiya – O'zbekiston do'stlik jamiyati rahbari  
Axmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Axmedov Sayfullo Normatovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Kalonov Muxiddin Baxritdinovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Siddiqova Sadoqat G'afforovna, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
Xudoyqulov Sadirdin Karimovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Maxmudov Nosir, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Samadov Asqarjon Nishonovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, professor  
Slizovskiy Dimitriy Yegorovich, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Axmedov Ikrom Akramovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Eshtayev Alisher Abdug'aniyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Xajiyev Baxtiyor Dushaboyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Hakimov Nazar Hakimovich, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
Musayeva Shoirazimovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), professor  
Ali Konak (Ali Ko'nak), iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor (Turkiya)  
Cham Tat Huei, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD), professor (Malayziya)  
Foziljonov Ibrohimjon Sotvoldix'o'ja o'g'li, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dots.  
Utayev Uktam Choriyevich, O'z.Respub. Bosh prokuraturasi boshqarma boshlig'i o'rinbosari  
Ochilov Farkhod, O'zbekiston Respublikasi Bosh prokuraturasi IJQKD boshlig'i  
Buzrukxonov Sarvarxon Munavvarxonovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
Axmedov Javohir Jamolovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), katta o'qituvchi  
Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), v.b. dots.  
Djudi Smetana, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)  
Krissi Lyuis, pedagogika fanlari nomzodi, dotsent (AQSH)  
Glazova Marina Viktorovna, Iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (Moskva)  
Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
Sevil Piriyeva Karaman, falsafa fanlari doktori (PhD) (Turkiya)  
Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon o'g'li, TDIU ITI departamenti rahbari  
Ochilov Bobur Baxtiyor o'g'li, TDIU katta o'qituvchisi  
Golischeva Yelena Vyacheslavovna, Iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent.



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

### Editorial board:

- Salimov Okil Umrzokovich**, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan  
**Abdurakhmanov Kalandar Khodjavevich**, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan  
**Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Rae Kwon Chung**, South Korea, Honorary Professor at TSUE, Nobel Prize Laureate  
**Osman Mesten**, Member of the Turkish Parliament, Head of the Turkey–Uzbekistan Friendship Society  
**Akhmedov Durbek Kudratillayevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Akhmedov Sayfullo Normatovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Kalonov Mukhiddin Bakhridinovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Siddikova Sadokat Gafforovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences  
**Khudoykulov Sadirdin Karimovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Makhmudov Nosir**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Yuldashev Mutallib Ibragimovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Samadov Askarjon Nishonovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Professor  
**Slizovskiy Dmitriy Yegorovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Mustafakulov Sherzod Igamberdiyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Akhmedov Ikrom Akramovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Eshtayev Alisher Abduganiyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khajiyev Bakhtiyor Dushaboyevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khakimov Nazar Khakimovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor  
**Musayeva Shoira Azimovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Professor  
**Ali Konak**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor (Turkey)  
**Cham Tat Huei**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)  
**Foziljonov Ibrokhimjon Sotvoldikhoja ugli**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Utayev Uktam Choriyevich**, Deputy Head of Department, Prosecutor General's Office of Uzbekistan  
**Ochilov Farkhod**, Head of DCEC, Prosecutor General's Office of Uzbekistan  
**Buzrukkhonov Sarvarkhon Munavvarkhonovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Akhmedov Javokhir Jamolovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences  
**Tokhirov Jaloliddin Ochil ugli**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Technical Sciences, Senior Lecturer  
**Bobobekov Ergash Abdumalikovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Acting Associate Professor  
**Judi Smetana**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)  
**Chrissy Lewis**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (USA)  
**Glazova Marina Victorovna**, Doctor of Sciences in Economics (Moscow)  
**Nosirova Nargiza Jamoliddin kizi**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Sevil Piriyeva Karaman**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) (Turkey)  
**Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhamatjon ugli**, Head of the Department of Scientific Research and Innovations, TSUE  
**Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli**, Senior lecturer at TSUI  
**Golisheva Yelena Vyacheslavovna**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor.

## Ekspertlar kengashi:

**Berkinov Bazarbay**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Po'latov Baxtiyor Alimovich**, texnika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Aliyev Bekdavlal Aliyevich**, falsafa fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor  
**Xalikov Suyun Ravshanovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
**Rustamov Ilhomiddin**, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent  
**Hakimov Ziyodulla Ahmadovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent  
**Kamilova Iroda Xusniddinovna**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**G'afurov Doniyor Orifovich**, pedagogika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)  
**Fayziyev Oybek Raximovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
**Tuxtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich**, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent  
**Xamidova Faridaxon Abdulkarim qizi**, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, dotsent  
**Yaxshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna**, katta o'qituvchi  
**Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna**, mustaqil tadqiqotchi

## Board of Experts:

**Berkinov Bazarbay**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Pulatov Bakhtiyor Alimovich**, Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Aliyev Bekdavlal Aliyevich**, Doctor of Philosophy (DSc), Professor  
**Isakov Janabay Yakubbayevich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor  
**Khalikov Suyun Ravshanovich**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Rustamov Ilkhomiddin**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Khakimov Ziyodulla Akhmadovich**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Kamilova Iroda Khusniddinovna**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics  
**Gafurov Doniyor Orifovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogy  
**Fayziyev Oybek Rakhimovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor  
**Tukhtabayev Jamshid Sharafetdinovich**, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics, Associate Professor  
**Khamidova Faridakhon Abdulkarimovna**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor  
**Yakhshiboyeva Laylo Abdisattorovna**, Senior Lecturer  
**Babayeva Zuhra Yuldashevna**, Independent Researcher

- 08.00.01 Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
- 08.00.02 Makroiqtisodiyot
- 08.00.03 Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.04 Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.05 Xizmat ko'rsatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.06 Ekonometrika va statistika
- 08.00.07 Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
- 08.00.08 Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
- 08.00.09 Jahon iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.10 Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.11 Marketing
- 08.00.12 Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
- 08.00.13 Menejment
- 08.00.14 Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
- 08.00.15 Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.16 Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
- 08.00.17 Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

**Muassis:** "Ma'rifat-print-media" MChJ

**Hamkorlarimiz:** Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti, O'zR Tabiat resurslari vazirligi, O'zR Bosh prokuraturasi huzuridagi IJQK departamenti.

## Jurnalning ilmiyligi:

“Yashil” iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot” jurnali

O'zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta'lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy attestatsiya komissiyasi rayosatining 2023-yil 1-apreldagi 336/3-sonli qarori bilan ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.



# MUNDARIJA

Suv tarkibining wireless waterlight qurilmasi ishlashiga ta'siri va iqtisodiy samaradorligini matematik model asosida tahlil qilish.....	14
<b>Kungiratbay Sharipov, Ma'ruf Nurmanov</b>	
O'zbekistonda aholi ish bilan bandligini oshirishda davlatning roli .....	20
<b>Juraqulov Baxrimurod Ilxomovich</b>	
BIM texnologiyasi: zamonaviy qurilish sohasida samaradorlik va shaffoflik omili .....	26
<b>Usmonov F.B., Rajabova A.Sh.</b>	
Nodavlat oliy ta'lim muassasalarida marketing faoliyati samaradorligini oshirish metodologiyasini takomillashtirish .....	31
<b>Yuldashov Isomiddin Sidiqov</b>	
Korxonada iqtisodiy barqarorligini ta'minlashda diversifikatsiya strategiyasining roli.....	35
<b>Alimatova Shoxsanam Abdumalik qizi</b>	
Модели совместного развития человеческого капитала и искусственного интеллекта в цифровую эпоху .....	40
<b>Явкачев Шохзод Зайниддин углы</b>	
Navigating sustainable development: management challenges and solutions in the oil and gas sector .....	49
<b>Kudratkhodjaeva Ziyoda Kamol kizi</b>	
Banklarda moliyaviy barqarorlikning nazariy asoslari.....	56
<b>Djalilov G'ayrat Qaxramanovich</b>	
Mamlakat iqtisodiyotini rivojlantirishda turizm industriyasidan foydalanishda xorijiy mamlakatlar tajribalari .....	66
<b>Abdulxakimov Zuxrali Tursunaliyevich</b>	
O'zbekistonda barqaror davlat qarzi siyosatini shakllantirishning ustuvor yo'nalishlari .....	71
<b>Sayfutdinov Xasanboy Dilshodovich</b>	
Международный опыт сельскохозяйственного налогообложения и возможности его применения в узбекистане.....	76
<b>Salimov Sherzod Baxtiyorovich</b>	
Economic analysis and development strategy of the composites market in the regions of Uzbekistan .....	81
<b>S.S.Sidiqov, A.A.G'ulomov, B.R.Tillayeva</b>	
Oliy ta'lim muassasalarida moliyaviy mustaqillikning kutilayotgan istiqbollari va hozirgi natijalari.....	88
<b>Muxamedov Ravshan Zafarovich</b>	
Elektron tijoratni soliqqa tortish mexanizmini takomillashtirish yo'llari.....	93
<b>Homidov Baxtiyor Rahimberdievich</b>	
Investitsion jozibadorlik konsepsiyasi va uning strategik ahamiyati.....	99
<b>Otaboyev Axmed Maxsudbek o'g'li</b>	
Beomeditsina signallarini xaar veyvletlari va bo'lak veyvletlari yordamida raqamli ishlash .....	105
<b>Uraqov Shokir Ulashovich</b>	
Oliy ta'lim muassasalarini moliyalashtirishning ahamiyati, tartibi va takomillashtirish yo'llari.....	112
<b>Istamova Sojida Kaxarovna</b>	
The role of all kinds of transports (road, rail, air, and water) in the modern enhancement of tourism logistics in Uzbekistan .....	117
<b>Egamberdiyeva Yulduz, Turdiyeva Maftuna</b>	
Sanoat korxonalarida kapitalarini samaradorligini oshirishning baholashning zamonaviy usullarining xususiyatlarida nazariy va amaliy farovonlik sari .....	126
<b>Muradov Botir Xayat</b>	
Инструменты планирования урбанизации: методика анализа планового распределения средств в программе «обод махалля» на основе балльной оценки .....	136
<b>Салимова Юлдуз Исаковна</b>	
Socio-economic aspects and contemporary theories of higher education finance .....	141
<b>Karlibaeva Gulshat</b>	



Transformatsiya jarayonida innovatsion bank xizmatlarini rivojlantirish yo'llari.....	147
<b>Absamatov Anvar Ergashovich</b>	
Перспективы цифрового развития сектора материального производства.....	153
<b>С. И. Протасеня, Г. Ж. Аллаева</b>	
«Sustainable logistics practices. Minimizing carbon emissions in global supply chains» .....	161
<b>Suleymonova Ezoza, Alimova Bonu</b>	
Analyzing the need for Tourism logistics development in Uzbekistan .....	169
<b>Nigmatova Malika</b>	
Management strategies for sustainable tourism development in emerging destinations (a case study of Samarkand, Issyk-kul, and Khiva).....	177
<b>Sabina Uroкова</b>	
Qashqadaryo viloyatida turizm sohasini strategik rivojlantirishning tashkiliy-iqtisodiy asoslari va ularning qo'llanilishi.....	184
<b>Daminova Barno Esanovna</b>	
Опыт турции в управлении миграционными кризисами .....	189
<b>Бегматов Хусанбек</b>	
Bulutli texnologiyalar orqali korxonalar buxgalteriya tizimini raqamlashtirish va samaradorlikka erishish.....	193
<b>Soibov Nozimbek Faxriddin o'g'li</b>	
Barqaror rivojlanish doirasida jismoniy shaxslarni kreditlash tizimini isloh qilishning institutSIONal asoslari.....	197
<b>Xalekeyeva Zoya Pirniyazovna, Akmal Abdurahmonov Nurmamatovich</b>	
Moliyaviy outsorsing xizmatlari bozori rivojlanishini takomillashtirishning swot tahliliga asoslangan innovatsion mexanizmlari .....	202
<b>San'atbek Komilovich Salayev, Umidbek Komiljanovich Babajanov</b>	
Transformatsiya jarayonlari sharoitida iqtisodiyot tizimi faoliyati va prognozlashning nazariy asoslari .....	214
<b>Alimov Fazliddin Xalimovich</b>	
Пути совершенствования подхода к финансированию проектов, реализуемых на принципах промышленной кооперации.....	220
<b>Котов В.А., Шадиева Д.Х.</b>	
Yuksalish salohiyatini yuksaltirishda boshqaruv xodimlari qobiliyatidan foydalanish masalalar .....	225
<b>Ayubxon Qutbiddinov Bosit o'g'li</b>	
Bank xizmatlari ommabopligini oshirish yo'llari.....	228
<b>Kasimova Muxlisa Anvar qizi</b>	
Chemical industry in Uzbekistan: challenges and development .....	235
<b>Kudaynazarova Dilnaz Koshkarbaevna</b>	
Samarqand viloyatida tabiiy turistik resurslardan samarali foydalanish usullarini takomillashtirish orqali bandligini oshirish imkoniyatlari.....	240
<b>Rabbimov Muhiddin Musoqul o'g'li</b>	
Globalashuv sharoitida ekologik xavflarni kamaytirishda yashil iqtisodiyotning ahamiyati.....	246
<b>Xolbekova Feruzaxon Rasulovna</b>	
Qibray tumanining 2021–2023-yillarda ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy rivojlanish holati.....	249
<b>Siddikov Alisher Ismoilovich</b>	
Davlat xaridlari tizimida sun'iy intellekt texnologiyalaridan foydalanish: xalqaro tajribalar tahlili .....	253
<b>Majidov Nizom Baxramovich</b>	
Oilaviy tadbirkorlikni rivojlantirishning xorij tajribasi.....	259
<b>Ermatov Nosir Tohirovich</b>	
Aholi farovonligi darajasiga ta'sir etuvchi ko'rsatkichlarni ekonometrik baholash .....	265
<b>Toshaliyeva Saodat Toxirovna, Allamurodova Zuxra Alibekovna</b>	
Сектор услуг как стратегический фактор структурной трансформации экономики Узбекистана.....	272
<b>Кадыров Абдурашид Маджидович, Ахмедиева Алия Тохтаровна</b>	
O'zbekistonda valyuta kursini shakllanish holati prognozlari.....	280
<b>Samandarov Zuxriddin Raup o'g'li</b>	



Проблемы бухгалтерского учета в условиях цифровизации экономики.....	288
<b>Абдуллаев Абдурауф</b>	
Raqamli texnologiyalar sharoitida xizmat ko'rsatish korxonalarida iqtisodiy resurslardan samarali foydalanish strategiyalari.....	299
<b>Kuldoshev Lazizjon Sharifovich</b>	
Davlat boshqaruvi organlarining strategik rejalarini ishlab chiqish bo'yicha xorijiy mamlakatlar tajribasi.....	303
<b>Dilshod Pulatov, Xamidaxon Akbarova, Dildora Mirzaeva</b>	
Tijorat banklarining moliyaviy xavfsizligi ta'minlash .....	310
<b>Mamatov Sardor Axmatjonovich, Mavlanov Nuriddin Boyqobilovich, Sattarov Nodirjon Absalomovich</b>	
Ziyorat turizmini rivojlantirishda halol standartlarini qo'llashning nazariy, uslubiy va konseptual asoslari .....	315
<b>Azizova Saodat Xabibulloyevna</b>	
Oliy ta'lim muassasalarini moliyalashtirish bo'yicha yevropa tajribasi.....	320
<b>Karlibaeva Gulshat</b>	
Iqtisodiy konsentratsiyalarning sog'lom raqobat muhitiga ta'siri .....	325
<b>Luqmanov Sharifxon A'zam o'g'li</b>	
Logistika axborot tizimlarini texnologik jarayonlarga joriy etish.....	330
<b>Uzaqov Ortik Shaymardanovich</b>	
Принципы перехода к «Зелёной экономике» в Республике Узбекистан.....	336
<b>Хамракулова Сусанна Тотуховна</b>	
Kichik biznesni rivojlantirish iqtisodiy ko'rsatkichlarini prognozlash modellarini qo'llash .....	340
<b>Ibragimova Gulchexra Toxirovna</b>	
Raqamli ta'lim sharoitida liderlikning yangi qirralari .....	357
<b>Oqmullaev Ravshan Raximjon o'g'li, Xodjievna Durdona Abdurasulovna</b>	
Методология развития экологического менеджмента в промышленном хозяйстве выбросы в атмосферный воздух на горнодобывающих и металлургических предприятиях.....	361
<b>Muradov Botir Xayat</b>	
Strategik budjetlashtirish tizimining natijaga yo'naltirilgan budjetlashtirish tizimi bilan bog'liqligi, xalqaro tajriba va O'zbekiston uchun tavsiyalar .....	370
<b>Xushmurotov Zoyir Bektemirovich</b>	
Cultural branding and the entry of traditional products into the international market.....	374
<b>Zebiniso Ganiyeva</b>	
Farovonlikni baholash bo'yicha ilg'or xorijiy nazariy yondashuvlar .....	379
<b>Norqobilov Nusratilla Norsaitovich</b>	
O'zbekistonda hududlarini turizm salohiyatini innovatsion yondashuv asosida baholash .....	384
<b>Umirova Dilnoza Safarovna</b>	
Tourism education for sustainability: curriculum development in universities of Uzbekistan .....	393
<b>Temirova Durdona, Yorqulov Muhammadmurod</b>	
The contribution of small enterprises and private entrepreneurs to job creation in the labor market.....	399
<b>Juraboev Haliljon</b>	
Iqtisodiy o'sish sharoitida transport infratuzilmasi holati va uni rivojlantirish yo'llari.....	404
<b>Haydarov Jahongir Aktamovich</b>	
Oliy ta'lim muassasalarini moliyalashtirishni strategik boshqarishning nazariy asoslari va xorijiy tajribalar .....	410
<b>Quvondiqov Farrux Ibragimovich</b>	
Tijorat banklarida iqtisodiy samaradorlikni axborot texnologiyalar orqali rivojlantirish istiqbollari.....	418
<b>Dadenova Gulxan Kenesbaevna</b>	
Механизмы совершенствования процедур выявления и анализа недостоверной финансовой отчетности в условиях учетной практики Узбекистана .....	422
<b>Намозов Жасурбек Кувадович</b>	
Влияние цифровых инструментов управления финансами на эффективность использования финансовых ресурсов .....	428
<b>Хазираткулов Собиржон</b>	



На пути к промышленному росту: опыт развивающихся стран.....	435
<b>Матлюбов Хуршид Жамшидович</b>	
Yoshlarning moliyaviy mustaqilligiga erishishida aksiyalar bozorining oʻrni va imkoniyatlari.....	443
<b>Oʻraqov Bekzodbek Abdurayxon oʻgʻli</b>	
Развитие транспортной инфраструктуры и влияние на качество предоставляемых транспортных услуг.....	448
<b>Кайыбеков Парахат Конысбаевич</b>	
Surxondaryo viloyatida 2015-2024 yillar mobaynida oziq-ovqat chakana savdo aylanmasining statistik tahlili .....	454
<b>Toshpulatov Bobur Rasul oʻgʻli</b>	
Qishloq xoʻjaligi sohasi investitsiya loyihalarini xalqaro moliya institutlari orqali moliyalashtirish.....	458
<b>Tolibov Shoxrux Muminovich</b>	
Qishloq xoʻjaligi korxonalarida buxgalteriya hisobining xususiyatlari va muammolari .....	463
<b>Mamadiyarov Dilshad Uralovich, Normurodov Sarvar Norboy oʻgʻli</b>	
Institutional innovation and regional governance for balanced interregional cooperation development: the case of Namangan, Uzbekistan .....	468
<b>Sattarov R.A.</b>	



# INSTITUTIONAL INNOVATION AND REGIONAL GOVERNANCE FOR BALANCED INTERREGIONAL COOPERATION DEVELOPMENT: THE CASE OF NAMANGAN, UZBEKISTAN

**Sattarov R.A.**

researcher at

Institute for Macroeconomic and Regional Studies

e-mail: fargona2012@mail.ru

**Abstract:** This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the dynamic institutional framework and interregional economic linkages within Uzbekistan, with a focused case study on the Namangan region. It critically examines the pivotal role of institutional coordination, governance resilience, and advanced conflict resolution mechanisms in shaping sustainable and robust regional cooperation amid ongoing economic transition. Drawing upon a rigorous assessment of contemporary challenges, exemplary domestic practices, and comparative insights from international conflict management models, this study formulates evidence-based strategic recommendations to enhance inclusive economic integration and long-term regional stability. The findings contribute significantly to the fields of institutional economics, regional development policy, and governance, offering valuable implications for academics, policymakers, and practitioners engaged in fostering resilient and balanced regional growth in transition economies.

**Key words:** regional cooperation, institutional governance, economic connectivity, conflict management, Uzbekistan, Namangan region and resilience.

**Annotatsiya:** Ushbu maqolada O'zbekistonning institutsional tizimi va hududlararo iqtisodiy aloqalari chuqur tahlil qilinadi hamda Namangan viloyati misolida alohida o'rganiladi. Maqolada institutsional muvofiqlashtirish, boshqaruv barqarorligi va ilg'or mojarolarni hal etish mexanizmlarining iqtisodiy o'tish davrida barqaror va samarali hududiy hamkorlikni shakllantirishdagi hal qiluvchi roli tanqidiy nuqtayi nazardan ko'rib chiqiladi. Zamonaviy muammolar, milliy ilg'or tajribalar va xalqaro nizolarni boshqarish modellari asosida olib borilgan chuqur tahlil asosida, maqolada inklyuziv iqtisodiy integratsiyani kuchaytirish va uzoq muddatli hududiy barqarorlikni ta'minlashga qaratilgan strategik tavsiyalar ishlab chiqilgan. Tadqiqot natijalari institutsional iqtisodiyot, hududiy rivojlanish siyosati va boshqaruv sohalariga sezilarli hissa qo'shadi hamda iqtisodiy o'tish bosqichida muvozanatli va barqaror rivojlanishni ta'minlashga intilayotgan akademiklar, siyosatchilar va amaliyotchilar uchun muhim ahamiyatga ega.

**Kalit so'zlar:** hududiy hamkorlik, institutsional boshqaruv, iqtisodiy bog'liqlik, mojarolarni boshqarish, O'zbekiston, Namangan viloyati, barqarorlik.

**Аннотация:** В данной статье представлен всесторонний анализ динамичной институциональной структуры и межрегиональных экономических связей в Узбекистане на примере Намаганской области. Особое внимание уделяется ключевой роли институциональной координации, устойчивости управления и современных механизмов разрешения конфликтов в формировании устойчивого и эффективного регионального сотрудничества в условиях продолжающегося экономического перехода. На основе тщательной оценки актуальных вызовов, передового отечественного опыта и сравнительного анализа международных моделей управления конфликтами разработаны стратегические рекомендации, направленные на усиление инклюзивной экономической интеграции и долгосрочной региональной стабильности. Полученные результаты вносят значительный вклад в развитие институциональной экономики, региональной политики и управления, предоставляя ценные выводы для ученых, политиков и практиков, заинтересованных в устойчивом и сбалансированном региональном развитии в странах с переходной экономикой.

**Ключевые слова:** региональное сотрудничество, институциональное управление, экономическая связанность, управление конфликтами, Узбекистан, Намаганская область, устойчивость.



## INTRODUCTION

Uzbekistan has embarked on a comprehensive path toward regional development, aiming to enhance interregional economic coordination and institutional efficiency. As the country transitions from a centralized administrative system to a more decentralized and participatory governance model, new opportunities and challenges have emerged in aligning national priorities with regional needs. Namangan region, characterized by its economic vitality and strategic location, presents an insightful case for examining how institutional synergy can facilitate deeper economic ties between regions.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON THE SUBJECT

Contemporary literature increasingly emphasizes the centrality of institutions as catalysts for sustainable regional economic development. North (1990) emphasized the importance of institutional frameworks in shaping economic performance. More recent studies, such as Rodríguez-Pose (2013), have shown that regional disparities are not merely the result of economic geography but often stem from institutional weaknesses. In the context of post-Soviet states, Libman and Vinokurov (2012) argue that regional cooperation is hindered by fragmented governance structures and limited cross-regional coordination. Within Central Asia, Dadabaev (2018) and Bohr (2020) explore the institutional barriers to economic integration, noting the pivotal role of regional elites and formal-informal governance dynamics.

Recent research by Orlova (2017), Thomas and Kilmann (2007) and Hofstede (2001) further emphasize the impact of cultural context and conflict resolution frameworks on institutional performance. Orlova's typology of conflict resolution strategies including avoidance, accommodation, competition, compromise, and collaboration offers a valuable lens to understand the relationship between institutional behavior and governance resilience. This paper adds to the existing literature by offering a governance-centered analysis of interregional economic connectivity in Uzbekistan, with Namangan region serving as a microcosm of broader national trends.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study draws on interdisciplinary literature from institutional economics, regional development theory and public administration. It applies a governance resilience lens to examine how institutions adapt to economic, political and social pressures while maintaining coordination capacity. The methodological approach combines qualitative institutional analysis with a critical review of national and subnational policy documents, supported by socio-economic data from the State Committee on Statistics and regional development agencies. Additionally, conflict management theory, as articulated by Orlova (2017) and comparative cultural frameworks (e.g., Hofstede's dimensions), inform the analysis of coordination and negotiation practices.

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Institutional landscape in Uzbekistan over the past decades, Uzbekistan has launched several governance reforms aimed at modernizing institutional structures and enabling more decentralized economic planning. Key mechanisms, such as regional coordination councils, local development funds and public-private partnership platforms, have played increasingly important roles in facilitating development and addressing regional disparities. The decentralization strategy introduced under the "New Uzbekistan" development vision allows regions to formulate and pursue independent development agendas. However, the lack of harmonized vertical (central-regional) and horizontal (region-region) coordination mechanisms remains a significant barrier to integrated national development. Institutional asymmetries, administrative fragmentation and capacity constraints continue to undermine the coherence of interregional policy implementation.

Interregional economic connectivity in Namangan region stands as a dynamic hub of economic activity in Uzbekistan, marked by a diverse industrial base, a rapidly growing services sector and a robust agricultural landscape. This diversity fosters vibrant trade and investment relationships with its neighboring regions, including Andijan, Fergana, and Tashkent, forming a complex web of interregional economic connectivity that significantly contributes to the overall socio-economic development of eastern Uzbekistan. Diverse economic foundations driving connectivity Namangan's manufacturing sector, with its range of industries from textile production to machinery, provides a solid foundation for economic integration with adjacent regions. The manufacturing enterprises not only supply local demand but also actively participate in cross-regional supply chains, creating opportunities for collaborative industrial growth. Complementing this, the expanding services sector including logistics, finance and trade facilitation plays a pivotal role in supporting the fluid movement of goods and capital across regional borders. Agriculture remains a cornerstone of Namangan's economy, with the region's fertile lands producing a variety of crops and contributing to food security both locally and beyond.



The agricultural output feeds into regional markets, enhancing trade linkages and fostering interdependence among neighboring regions. This agricultural-commercial nexus is further strengthened by investments in agro-processing industries, which add value to raw produce and stimulate regional economic integration.

Positive momentum and growing synergies despite certain challenges, Namangan region has demonstrated remarkable progress in strengthening interregional economic ties. Initiatives aimed at improving infrastructure, such as road networks and transport logistics have begun to reduce historical bottlenecks, facilitating smoother and faster movement of goods and services. Public-private partnerships are increasingly leveraged to modernize facilities and enhance connectivity, reflecting a shared commitment among stakeholders to regional development.

The regulatory environment, while still evolving, shows signs of gradual harmonization. Efforts to align regional policies and reduce bureaucratic hurdles are gaining traction, paving the way for more seamless cooperation in areas such as industrial joint ventures and investment projects. These developments foster an encouraging environment for businesses to explore collaborative opportunities, harnessing the comparative advantages of each region to drive mutual growth.

Collaborative opportunities and strategic alliances interregional cooperation in Namangan is increasingly characterized by strategic partnerships that leverage complementary economic strengths. For example, joint ventures between Namangan's manufacturing firms and agricultural producers from Fergana or Andijan enhance production efficiency and market reach. Similarly, the services sectors across these regions collaborate to streamline supply chains, optimize logistics, and expand access to financial resources.

The growing culture of collaboration is further supported by regional forums and economic councils that facilitate dialogue, knowledge exchange and conflict resolution. These platforms enable stakeholders to address shared challenges, such as regulatory inconsistencies and competition for subsidies in a cooperative manner that prioritizes collective benefits over zero-sum outcomes. Namangan region's interregional economic connectivity is a testament to the power of institutional synergy and shared vision among Uzbekistan's eastern regions. By building on its diverse economic base and embracing collaborative approaches, Namangan not only strengthens its own development trajectory but also contributes significantly to regional stability and prosperity. Continued investments in infrastructure, regulatory harmonization and strategic partnerships promise to unlock even greater potentials, positioning Namangan as a key player in Uzbekistan's integrated regional economy.

Insights from Orlova (2017) and Hofstede (2001) emphasize that effective connectivity is not solely contingent upon physical infrastructure but requires strong institutional alignment, trust-building, and shared strategic vision. In Namangan, successful interregional coordination hinges on integrated planning processes, transparent communication channels and mechanisms for reconciling diverging local interests. Structured dialogue, data-sharing protocols, and cross-sectoral governance platforms are essential to overcoming administrative bottlenecks and fostering long-term economic integration.

Conflict management and coordination challenges, interregional cooperation in Uzbekistan frequently encounters friction due to competing resource claims, overlapping mandates and divergent development priorities. Although institutional mechanisms for conflict mitigation are being established such as interregional advisory forums and national coordination bodies their effectiveness remains uneven and context-specific. In Namangan, emerging participatory governance practices, including citizen budgeting and multi-stakeholder planning, have shown promise in fostering transparency and minimizing conflict.

Drawing from Orlova's conflict resolution matrix, Uzbekistan's prevailing institutional behavior reflects a dominance of avoidance and smoothing strategies traits associated with collectivist cultures and hierarchical governance structures. These patterns, while valuable for maintaining harmony, may limit proactive engagement and long-term conflict resolution. As Uzbekistan advances toward a more market-oriented and decentralized model, there is a growing need to institutionalize collaborative approaches, including mediation frameworks, policy arbitration bodies and localized consensus-building mechanisms.

Comparative analysis: International conflict management models a cross-national comparison of conflict management and negotiation practices in the United States, United Kingdom, Germany and Japan reveals striking contrasts in institutional behavior and cultural orientation.

Conflict management and negotiation practices in the United States: An in-depth analysis. The United States is widely recognized for its distinctive approach to conflict management and negotiation, which is deeply rooted in its cultural values of individualism, assertiveness and a strong belief in legal and institutional frameworks. The American model prioritizes clear, outcome-driven negotiation strategies that emphasize competitive advantage and pragmatic problem-solving.

Cultural foundations and institutional context at the heart of the U.S. conflict management style lies a culture that values direct communication and assertiveness. Individuals and organizations are encouraged to express their positions openly and advocate vigorously for their interests. This cultural trait manifests itself



in negotiations that tend to be straightforward, with little tolerance for ambiguity or indirectness. Negotiators typically approach discussions with a clear agenda and a strong focus on achieving tangible results.

Institutionally, the U.S. relies heavily on formal legal systems and procedural rules to regulate conflicts. Contracts, legal enforceability, and formal dispute resolution mechanisms such as mediation, arbitration, and litigation play pivotal roles in ensuring accountability and predictability. This legalistic environment supports a structured negotiation process where rights and obligations are clearly delineated.

Competitive negotiation and problem-solving orientation. Negotiation in the United States often adopts a competitive framework, where each party aims to maximize its own gains. This approach aligns with the broader American ethos of capitalism and market competition. However, it is complemented by a pragmatic problem-solving orientation. While negotiators are assertive, they also recognize the importance of finding mutually acceptable solutions to sustain long-term relationships and avoid costly conflicts.

The concept of “win-win” negotiation, although valued, is often balanced against the pursuit of competitive advantage. In many cases, negotiators enter discussions prepared to engage in hard bargaining tactics, employing strategies such as anchoring, making strategic concessions, and leveraging power dynamics.

Use of formalized contracts and performance guarantees. A hallmark of the American negotiation style is the reliance on detailed, formalized contracts. These documents serve not only as agreements but also as instruments for managing future uncertainties and conflicts. The contracts typically include specific performance guarantees, penalties for non-compliance, and clearly defined dispute resolution clauses. This emphasis on contractual clarity reduces ambiguity and provides a clear recourse in case of disagreements.

Moreover, the U.S. negotiation process often involves extensive preparation, including risk assessment, scenario planning, and legal consultation. Negotiators invest considerable resources to anticipate potential points of contention and draft comprehensive agreements that address them preemptively. Adaptability and use of alternative dispute resolution. Despite the strong legalistic orientation, there is growing recognition in the U.S. of the value of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods such as mediation and arbitration. These approaches offer more flexible, cost-effective, and timely mechanisms for resolving conflicts outside the courtroom. Many organizations now incorporate ADR clauses in their contracts as a first step before resorting to litigation.

The American system’s adaptability to incorporate both competitive and collaborative techniques reflects its complex socio-economic environment. Businesses and institutions often tailor their conflict management approaches to the context, balancing assertiveness with cooperation to maintain productive partnerships. The United States’ approach to conflict management and negotiation is characterized by a distinctive blend of assertiveness, legal formalism, and pragmatic problem-solving. Its negotiators operate within a well-established institutional framework that prioritizes clear, enforceable agreements and outcome-oriented interactions. While competition remains a core element, there is also an increasing emphasis on collaboration and alternative dispute resolution, reflecting the evolving needs of a complex and interconnected economy.

Conflict management and negotiation practices in the United Kingdom: a pragmatic and institutional approach The United Kingdom’s approach to conflict management and negotiation is deeply influenced by its institutional traditions and cultural norms that emphasize compromise, politeness, and indirect communication. British institutions prioritize maintaining social harmony and institutional continuity, which shapes a negotiation style that is formal, reserved, and focused on smoothing differences rather than direct confrontation.

Cultural and institutional foundations British culture places a high value on diplomacy, etiquette, and subtlety in communication. This manifests in negotiation practices that tend to be understated and cautious, where parties avoid overt displays of aggression or direct challenges. The preference is for indirect communication, using nuance and implication to navigate sensitive issues. This style reflects broader societal norms that prioritize face-saving and social cohesion.

Institutionally, the UK benefits from a long-standing tradition of stable governance and robust legal frameworks. However, unlike the more adversarial American model, British institutions often encourage compromise and consensus-building. Conflict is managed through established protocols that emphasize dialogue, mutual respect, and the preservation of relationships over the immediate attainment of individual goals.

Negotiation style: reserved and formal. Negotiations in the UK are typically marked by formality and decorum. Meetings are structured, with clear agendas and protocols followed meticulously. Participants tend to be patient listeners, valuing reflection and measured responses over rapid exchanges. This reserved demeanor is not indicative of weakness but rather a strategic effort to maintain decorum and avoid escalation. The British approach often involves “smoothing” mechanisms, where negotiators actively work to minimize tensions and find middle ground. This can include the use of intermediaries, informal discussions before formal meetings, and subtle signals to convey positions without causing offense. The aim is to foster trust and facilitate gradual convergence towards agreement.



Emphasis on institutional continuity and avoidance of open conflict. A defining feature of the UK's conflict management is the strong preference to preserve institutional integrity and avoid public confrontation. British negotiators are mindful of the long-term consequences of disputes, seeking solutions that safeguard the stability of institutions and relationships. This results in a risk-averse approach that prioritizes gradual progress over abrupt changes. Such an orientation is evident in public sector negotiations, corporate disputes, and international diplomacy, where consensus and continuity are valued highly. The UK's adherence to constitutional conventions and respect for precedent further reinforces this tendency, encouraging solutions that are both pragmatic and sustainable.

Practical implications and examples. In business negotiations, British firms often prioritize relationship-building alongside contractual agreements. The process may be slower and involve more rounds of discussion than in other cultures, reflecting the importance of trust and mutual understanding. Contracts are detailed but framed within the context of ongoing collaboration rather than rigid enforcement. In diplomatic contexts, the UK's use of backchannel communications and informal diplomacy exemplifies its indirect approach. These methods allow sensitive issues to be addressed discreetly, reducing the risk of public disputes and enabling flexibility in negotiations. The United Kingdom's conflict management and negotiation practices are characterized by pragmatism, formality, and a strong cultural preference for compromise and institutional preservation. This approach fosters stable, long-term agreements and maintains social harmony, distinguishing it from more direct or adversarial models. Understanding this reserved and smoothing-oriented style is essential for effective engagement with British institutions and negotiators in both domestic and international arenas.

Conflict management and negotiation practices in Germany: precision, structure and legal consistency. Germany's approach to conflict management and negotiation is renowned for its emphasis on precision, systematic planning, and adherence to legal frameworks. Rooted in a culture that values order, thoroughness, and reliability, the German model prioritizes evidence-based decision-making and long-term institutional stability.

Cultural and institutional foundations. German society strongly emphasizes discipline, structure, and rule-following, which profoundly influence its conflict resolution practices. Negotiators are typically well-prepared, relying on extensive data, rigorous analysis, and clear documentation to support their positions. This reflects a cultural preference for rationality and objectivity over emotional or informal approaches. Institutionally, Germany benefits from a robust legal system and a tradition of bureaucratic efficiency. Laws and regulations are applied consistently, creating a predictable environment for negotiation. This legal consistency ensures that agreements are enforceable and disputes can be resolved through formal mechanisms if necessary.

Evidence-based and premeditated negotiations. German negotiations are characterized by meticulous preparation and a methodical approach. Parties invest significant time in gathering relevant information, conducting risk assessments, and developing detailed proposals before entering discussions. This premeditation reduces uncertainty and facilitates focused, fact-driven dialogue.

During negotiations, emphasis is placed on presenting empirical evidence and logical arguments. Decisions are rarely made on the basis of intuition or persuasion alone; instead, negotiators rely on data, expert opinions, and precedents to justify their positions. This objective orientation fosters transparency and builds mutual confidence.

Focus on long-term institutional stability the German conflict management model prioritizes sustainable solutions that contribute to the long-term stability of institutions and relationships. Negotiations are viewed as part of a broader governance framework aimed at preserving social order and economic efficiency. As a result, negotiators seek to balance immediate interests with the potential impacts on future cooperation. This long-term perspective is evident in both public and private sector contexts. For instance, labor negotiations often involve detailed contracts that address not only current wages but also future working conditions, training programs, and dispute resolution processes. Similarly, corporate agreements emphasize compliance, quality standards, and risk management.

Structured processes and formality negotiations in Germany tend to be highly structured and formal. Meetings follow clear agendas, and roles and responsibilities are well-defined. Punctuality and professionalism are expected, reflecting respect for participants' time and commitment. Formal documentation plays a crucial role throughout the process. Agreements are drafted with precision, outlining explicit terms and contingencies. This attention to detail minimizes ambiguities and provides a reliable framework for implementation and enforcement.

Practical examples and implications in international trade negotiations, Germany's insistence on detailed contracts and compliance standards ensures predictable outcomes and minimizes misunderstandings. In domestic labor relations, works councils and unions engage in structured dialogue supported by legal provisions, promoting stable industrial relations. Germany's preference for consensus-building within a structured framework means that even contentious issues are addressed systematically, often through multi-stage negotiation processes involving expert committees and legal advisors.



The German conflict management and negotiation approach is distinguished by its precision, evidence-based methodology and commitment to legal consistency. By emphasizing thorough preparation, structured dialogue, and long-term institutional stability, Germany fosters reliable and sustainable agreements. This model reflects the cultural values of rationality, order, and responsibility, making it particularly effective in complex economic and social environments.

Conflict management and negotiation practices in Japan: harmony, consensus, and relational diplomacy. Japan's approach to conflict management and negotiation is deeply embedded in its collectivist culture and high-context communication style. Japanese institutions emphasize harmony, consensus-building, and the preservation of social relationships, which fundamentally shape the way conflicts are addressed and negotiations are conducted.

Cultural and institutional foundations. Japanese society values group cohesion and social harmony above individual assertion, which significantly influences conflict resolution mechanisms. Communication tends to be indirect and nuanced, relying heavily on non-verbal cues, context, and implicit understanding. This high-context communication style means that much is conveyed through subtle signals rather than explicit statements.

Institutions in Japan prioritize the maintenance of face both individual and collective to avoid embarrassment or loss of dignity. This cultural imperative encourages approaches to conflict that minimize confrontation and protect relationships, fostering a cooperative rather than adversarial environment.

Indirect conflict management mechanisms. Conflict in Japan is often managed through indirect means, avoiding open disputes that could disrupt group harmony. Formal negotiations are typically preceded by extensive behind-the-scenes consultations, informal discussions, and relationship-building activities. This gradual process allows parties to gauge each other's positions and sensitivities without direct confrontation.

Mediators or third parties, such as senior executives or respected community members, frequently play important roles in facilitating dialogue and helping to reconcile differences. The use of such intermediaries helps preserve face and provides a socially acceptable channel for airing disagreements.

Consensus-building and gradual negotiation process negotiations in Japan emphasize consensus, a process of informal groundwork that ensures broad agreement before formal discussions begin. This method involves extensive consultation with stakeholders at various levels to build support and avoid surprises during official negotiations. The negotiation process itself is typically slow and deliberate, reflecting the importance placed on thoroughness and inclusivity. Rather than aiming for quick resolutions, Japanese negotiators seek solutions that reflect a collective will, ensuring that all parties feel invested and respected.

Preservation of Social Relationships. Central to Japanese conflict management is the concept of long-term relationships and mutual trust. Agreements are viewed not merely as contracts but as part of ongoing social bonds that require continual care and respect. This relational approach means that negotiators are highly attentive to the social dynamics involved and often prioritize future cooperation over immediate gains. This perspective encourages flexibility and patience, with an understanding that conflicts may be addressed incrementally over time as relationships strengthen and trust deepens.

Practical examples and implications in corporate negotiations, Japanese firms invest heavily in pre-negotiation rapport-building activities such as social gatherings, gift exchanges, and informal meetings. These practices foster goodwill and facilitate smoother formal negotiations. In governmental and community contexts, decisions are often made through extensive consultation and consensus-building committees, reflecting the cultural preference for inclusivity and harmony. The Japanese style of conflict management, while time-consuming, tends to produce stable, durable agreements grounded in mutual respect and shared understanding.

Japan's conflict management and negotiation practices are deeply shaped by collectivist values, high-context communication, and a strong emphasis on harmony and consensus. Through indirect conflict resolution mechanisms, gradual negotiation processes, and a focus on preserving social relationships, Japanese institutions foster cooperative and sustainable outcomes. Understanding these cultural and institutional dynamics is essential for effective engagement with Japanese counterparts in diverse negotiation settings.

Toward a resilient model of regional governance, building resilience in Uzbekistan's regional governance system entails creating durable, adaptive institutions capable of managing uncertainty, enabling coordination, and facilitating inclusive economic development. Key priorities should include:

- Establishing formal interregional cooperation compacts with legal standing;
- Developing performance-based financing mechanisms that incentivize collaboration;
- Creating interregional councils tasked with strategic coordination and dispute resolution;
- Institutionalizing culturally responsive conflict management systems informed by global best practices;
- Strengthening administrative capacity at the local level through targeted training and digital integration.

Namangan region serves as a promising pilot for these innovations, offering valuable lessons in participatory governance, regional autonomy, and collaborative planning. The case underscores that resilience is not only the ability to withstand systemic shocks but also to evolve institutional frameworks that enable shared



prosperity. Uzbekistan's future regional development will depend on its capacity to institutionalize synergy and connectivity among its diverse regions. Through the lens of Namangan region, this study illustrates the potential and challenges of creating a resilient interregional governance system. By strengthening institutional coordination, embracing adaptive conflict management and aligning national strategies with local capabilities, Uzbekistan can establish a model of balanced and inclusive regional development. These insights contribute to the global discourse on governance transformation in transitioning economies.

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

**Policy Recommendations:** Strengthening governance resilience and institutional innovation for Namangan's sustainable future.

Based on the analytical insights derived from the Namangan region as a case within a transitioning economy, the following strategic recommendations are proposed to reinforce governance resilience, foster institutional innovation and promote balanced regional development alongside deeper interregional cooperation:

- enhance adaptive governance frameworks: develop flexible, transparent, and participatory governance mechanisms that can withstand external shocks, adapt to rapid socio-economic changes, and support decentralized decision-making tailored to local contexts;

- institutionalize innovation at the regional level: encourage institutional experimentation and policy learning by establishing regional innovation councils and think tanks. These bodies should focus on integrating academic research, civil society input, and public-private dialogues into policymaking;

- implement input-output based strategic planning: introduce input-output analytical tools in regional planning to evaluate sectoral interdependencies, identify growth multipliers, and optimize cross-sectoral investments that align with the region's comparative advantages;

- foster interregional cooperation platforms: create formal mechanisms for interregional cooperation, such as cross-border economic zones, logistics corridors, and joint research initiatives to facilitate knowledge transfer, investment mobility, and shared infrastructure;

- build human capital for institutional transformation:

- launch targeted educational and professional development programs to cultivate a new generation of regional planners, governance experts, and local development managers who are equipped to drive institutional reform from within;

- promote public sector innovation and digital governance:

- Digitalize administrative services and policy implementation tools to increase institutional efficiency, reduce corruption risks, and improve service delivery across the Namangan region;

- ensure equity and spatial inclusion in development policies: design regional policies that actively reduce spatial and social disparities by prioritizing investment in lagging districts, improving rural-urban linkages, and promoting inclusive access to public services and economic opportunities;

- align regional development with national and global goals:

- synchronize Namangan's regional strategies with Uzbekistan's broader development agenda and global frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), ensuring coherence, accountability, and impact;

**Conclusion:** By adopting these governance-centered and innovation-driven approaches, Namangan can position itself as a resilient and forward-looking region within Uzbekistan's transition economy. Its experience may also serve as a replicable model for balanced regional development and interregional cooperation in other post-Soviet and emerging economies.

### List of used literature:

1. North, D.C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2013). Do institutions matter for regional development? *Regional Studies*, 47(7), 1034–1047.
3. Libman, A., & Vinokurov, E. (2012). *Holding-Together Regionalism: Twenty Years of Post-Soviet Integration*. Palgrave Macmillan.
4. Dadabaev, T. (2018). *Identity and Regional Integration in Central Asia: Rethinking the Politics of Regionalism*. Lexington Books.
5. Bohr, A. (2020). *Uzbekistan's Political Transformation: Authoritarian Renewal and Societal Change*. Chatham House Research Paper.
6. Orlova, A.V. (2017). *Management: Basics of Conflict Resolution and Business Negotiation*. Gomel: Francisk Skorina State University.
7. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions and Organizations Across Nations*. SAGE Publications.
8. Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (2007). *Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument*. CPP, Inc.



9. Government of Uzbekistan. (2023). National Strategy for Regional Development 2022–2026. Tashkent.
10. World Bank. (2022). Uzbekistan: Enhancing Interregional Economic Connectivity. Washington, DC.
11. OECD. (2021). Multi-level Governance Reforms in Eurasia. Paris.
12. UNDP Uzbekistan. (2023). Building Institutional Resilience in Regional Development. Tashkent.



## IQTISODIYOT & TARAQQIYOT

*Ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy, texnologik, ilmiy, ommabop jurnal*

**Ingliz tili muharriri:** Feruz Hakimov

**Musahhih:** Zokir ALIBEKOV

**Sahifalovchi va dizayner:** Oloviddin Sobir o'g'li

---

**2025. № 7**

---

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Yashil" iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelmasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

Mazkur jurnalda maqolalar chop etish uchun quyidagi havolalarga maqola, reklama, hikoya va boshqa ijodiy materiallar yuborishingiz mumkin. Materiallar va reklamalar pullik asosda chop etiladi.

EI.Pochta: sq143235@gmail.com

Bot: @iqtisodiyot\_77

Tel.: 93 718 40 07

Jurnalga istalgan payt quyidagi rekvizitlar orqali obuna bo'lishingiz mumkin. Obuna bo'lgach, @iqtisodiyot\_77 telegram sahifamizga to'lov haqidagi ma'lumotni skrinshot yoki foto shaklida jo'natishingizni so'raymiz. Shu asosda har oygi jurnal yangi sonini manzilingizga jo'natamiz.

"Yashil" iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot" jurnali 03.11.2022-yildan O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №566955 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.

**Litsenziya raqami:** №046523. PNFL: 30407832680027

**Manzilimiz:** Toshkent shahar, Mirzo Ulug'bek tumani  
Kumushkon ko'chasi, 26-uy.



Jurnal sayti: <https://yashil-iqtisodiyot-taraqqiyot.uz>